File No.: 505060214TRA-LAPP

APPRAISAL OF REAL PROPERTY



Date of Valuation:

December 17, 2010

Located At: 285 North Petit Jean Road A part of the SW 1/4, SW 1/4, 30-6-26, Logan County Magazine, AR 72943

For: Department of Veteran Affairs "Intended User-Any VA Approved Lender"

Table of Contents:

Exhibits UAD Complaint Wording	
General Text Addendum	12
Market Conditions Addendum	13
Subject Photos	14
Photograph Addendum	15
Photograph Addendum	16
Photograph Addendum	17
Photograph Addendum Photograph Addendum	18
Comparable Photos 1-3	19
Building Sketch (Page - 1)	20
Location Map	21
FIRREA/USPAP Addendum	
USPAP Identification	23
Invoice	

Borrower Bob Hope	5 North Petit Jean Ro		ne lender/client with an acc	City Magazine			State AR	, ,	72943
			Owner of Public Re	ecord Robert and De	elores Hope	(County Loga	in	
Legal Description A p		V 1/4, 30-6-26, Lo	ogan County						
Assessor's Parcel # 1				Tax Year 2010			R.E. Taxes \$		
Neighborhood Name	0	cont	Special Assessme	Map Reference	e N/A		Census Trac	t 9504.00 per year 🔲 p	or mont
Property Rights Appra				nis a U				регуеаг 🛄 р	
			e Transaction Other (describe)					
Lender/Client Mortgae				oad Anywhere, AR 7	2902				
			en offered for sale in the tw			ate of this appra	aisal? 🔲 '	Yes 🔀 No	
Report data source(s)) used, offering price(s), and date(s). N	lo public listings were locat	ted for the subject wi	thin the past 36	6 months.			
c performed. Appraiser			ject purchase transaction.	Explain the results of	t the analysis of	t the contract to	or sale or w	ny the analysis	was not
	is not aware of any o		r the subject property.						
T Contract Price \$N/A	Date of Contr	act N/A	Is the property seller the o	wner of public record	1? 🗌 Yes 🗌 1	No Data Sour	ce(s)N/A		
			sions, gift or downpaymen	t assistance, etc.) to	be paid by any	party on behal	f of the borr	ower? 🔲 Yes	X No
If Yes, report the total	dollar amount and de	escribe the items	to be paid. N/A						
í									
Note: Pace and the	racial composition o	f the neighborh	ood are not appraisal fac	stors					
	nood Characteristics			lousing Trends		One-Unit F	ousing	Present Land	Use %
Location Urban	Suburban		erty Values Increasing	3	Declining	PRICE	0	One-Unit	709
E Built-Up Over 759			and/Supply Shortage	In Balance		\$ (000)		2-4 Unit	19
G Growth Rapid			teting Time Under 3 mt		Over 6 mths	20 Low	V /	Multi-Family	1%
R	*		wn of Magazine and Boon			200 High		Commercial	3%
			ty located in these school of	districts.		75 Pred	.25	Other	25%
R Neighborhood Descrip	ption See attached a	adenda.							
0									
Market Conditions (in	cluding support for th	e above conclusi	ons) See market condition	s addendum					
				o dadonadini					
Dimensions 100' X 3	05'		Area .70 ac		e Rectangular		View N;Re	S	
Specific Zoning Class			Zoning Description						
			Grandfathered Use) 🗙 N			a 1.1			
			ved (or as proposed per pl					No, describe	
	Of the subject proper Other (describe)	ty is a residential	homesite. Lack of zoning	would not affect the ther (describe)	,	etability. f-site Improven	onts_Tvn	e Public	Private
Electricity		Wa		Private Well		reet Asphalt	icino Typ		
		Sa	nitary Sewer	Septic System		ey None			
T Gas				FEMA Map # 0503	8440001A	FEMA	Map Date	07/13/1982	
FEMA Special Flood F			rket area? 🔀 Yes 🗌 N				A		
Gas FEMA Special Flood H Are the utilities and of	ff-site improvements t				tions, land use	s, etc.)? 📋 Y	es 🗶 No	If Yes, describ	е
FEMA Special Flood H Are the utilities and of Are there any adverse	ff-site improvements t e site conditions or ex	ternal factors (ea							
FEMA Special Flood H Are the utilities and of Are there any adverse	ff-site improvements t e site conditions or ex	ternal factors (ea	sements, encroachments, operty is not located in an						
FEMA Special Flood H Are the utilities and of Are there any adverse	ff-site improvements t e site conditions or ex	ternal factors (ea							
Gas FEMA Special Flood H Are the utilities and of Are there any adverse Site comments: Typic	ff-site improvements t e site conditions or ex cal residential site for	ternal factors (ea the area. The pro		AICUZ Noise Zone.	on materia	als/condition	Interior	materials/c	onditio
FEMA Special Flood H Are the utilities and of Are there any adverse	ff-site improvements t e site conditions or ex cal residential site for escription	ternal factors (ea the area. The pro	operty is not located in an <i>i</i>				Interior Floors	materials/c Carpet/Viny	
Gas FEMA Special Flood H Are the utilities and of Are there any adverse Site comments: Typic General Do	ff-site improvements t e site conditions or ex cal residential site for escription	ternal factors (ea the area. The pro	operty is not located in an coundation ab Crawl Space	AICUZ Noise Zone. Exterior Description	Concrete	e/Average		materials/c Carpet/Viny Drywall/Ave	l/Ave
T Gas X FEMA Special Flood H Are the utilities and of Are there any adverse Site comments: Typic Site comments: Typic General De One Or # of Stories Type Det. Att	ff-site improvements t e site conditions or ex cal residential site for escription ne with Accessory Unit 1 . S-Det/End Unit	ternal factors (ea the area. The pro- F Concrete SI Full Basemen Basement Area	Foundation ab Crawl Space ent Partial Basement 0 sq. ft.	AICUZ Noise Zone. Exterior Descriptic Foundation Walls	Concrete Brick Ve	e/Average n/Frm/Ave hingle/Ave	Floors Walls Trim/Finish	Carpet/Viny	l/Ave rage
Gas ⊠ FEMA Special Flood I Are the utilities and of Are there any adverse Site comments: Typie General De Units ⊠ One □ Or # of Stories Type ⊠ Det. □ Att. ⊠ Existing □ Propo	ff-site improvements t e site conditions or ex cal residential site for escription ne with Accessory Unit 1 . S-Det/End Unit used Under Const.	ternal factors (ea the area. The pro- File Concrete Sil Full Basement Basement Area Basement Finisi	Foundation ab Crawl Space ent Partial Basement 0 sq. ft. h 0 %	AICUZ Noise Zone. Exterior Description Foundation Walls Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspo	Concrete Brick Ve Comp Sl puts None	e/Average n/Frm/Ave hingle/Ave	Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor	Carpet/Viny Drywall/Ave Wood/Avera Carpet/Aver	l/Ave rage age rage
Gas FEMA Special Flood H Are the utilities and of Are there any adverse Site comments: Typic General De Units One Or # of Stories Type Ott. Att Existing Propo Design (Style) Ranch	ff-site improvements t e site conditions or ex cal residential site for escription ne with Accessory Unit 1 . S-Det/End Unit used Under Const.	ternal factors (ea the area. The pro- F Concrete SI Full Basemen Basement Area Basement Finis Outside Ent	Foundation ab Crawl Space ent Partial Basement 0 sq. ft. h 0 % ry/Exit Sump Pump	AICUZ Noise Zone. Exterior Descriptic Foundation Walls Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspo Window Type	Concrete Brick Ve Comp Sl outs None SH Alum	e/Average n/Frm/Ave hingle/Ave ninum/Ave	Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wains	Carpet/Viny Drywall/Ave Wood/Avera Carpet/Aver cot Fiberglass//	l/Ave rage age rage
Gas Gas FEMA Special Flood H Are the utilities and of Are there any adverse Site comments: Typic General De Units One Or # of Stories Type Otto Det Att. Existing Propo Design (Style) Ranch Year Built 1991	ff-site improvements t e site conditions or ex cal residential site for escription ne with Accessory Unit 1 . S-Det/End Unit used Under Const.	ternal factors (ea the area. The pro- Markowski factors for Second Second Second Full Basement Basement Area Basement Finiss Outside Ent Evidence of	Foundation ab Crawl Space ent Partial Basement 0 sq. ft. h 0 % ry/Exit Sump Pump Infestation	AICUZ Noise Zone. Exterior Description Foundation Walls Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspon Window Type Storm Sash/Insulat	Concrete Brick Ve Comp SI puts None SH Alum red Insulated	e/Average n/Frm/Ave hingle/Ave ninum/Ave d/Average	Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wains Car Storage	Carpet/Viny Drywall/Aver Wood/Avera Carpet/Aver cot Fiberglass// Done	l/Ave rage age rage Ave
Gas ⊠ FEMA Special Flood I Are the utilities and of Are there any adverse Site comments: Typie General De Units ⊠ One □ Or # of Stories Type ⊠ Det. □ Att. ⊠ Existing □ Propo Design (Style) Ranch Year Built 1991 Effective Age (Yrs) 15	ff-site improvements t e site conditions or ex cal residential site for escription ne with Accessory Unit 1 . S-Det/End Unit sed Under Const.	ternal factors (ea the area. The pro- Markowski factors for Second Second Second Full Basement Basement Area Basement Finiss Outside Ent Evidence of Dampness	Foundation ab Crawl Space ent Partial Basement 0 sq. ft. h 0 ny/Exit Sump Pump Infestation Settlement	AICUZ Noise Zone. Exterior Description Foundation Walls Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspon Window Type Storm Sash/Insulat Screens	Concrete Brick Ve Comp SI puts None SH Alum red Insulated Yes/Ave	e/Average n/Frm/Ave hingle/Ave ninum/Ave d/Average rage	Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wains Car Storage X Drivewa	Carpet/Viny Drywall/Aver Wood/Avera Carpet/Aver cot Fiberglass// e None ry # of Cars 4	l/Ave rage age rage Ave
Gas FEMA Special Flood H Are the utilities and of Are there any adverse Site comments: Typic General De Units ⊠ One □ Or # of Stories Type ⊠ Det. □ Attt ⊠ Existing □ Propo Design (Style) Ranch Year Built 1991 Effective Age (Yrs) 15 Attic	ff-site improvements t e site conditions or ex cal residential site for escription ne with Accessory Unit 1 . S-Det/End Unit used Under Const. 5 None	ternal factors (ea the area. The pro- File Concrete Sla Full Basement Basement Area Basement Finis Outside Entt Evidence of Dampness Heating X FW	Foundation ab Crawl Space ent Partial Basement 0 sq. ft. h 0 ny/Exit Sump Pump Infestation Settlement A HWBB Radiant	AICUZ Noise Zone. Exterior Description Foundation Walls Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspon Window Type Storm Sash/Insulatt Screens Amenities	Concrete Brick Ve Comp SI Duts None SH Alum ed Insulated Yes/Ave	e/Average n/Frm/Ave hingle/Ave ninum/Ave d/Average rage stove(s) # 0	Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wains Car Storage X Drivewa Driveway S	Carpet/Viny Drywall/Ave Wood/Avera Carpet/Avera cot Fiberglass// Done y # of Cars 4 urface Grav/Co	I/Ave rage age rage Ave pnc/Ave
Gas FEMA Special Flood H Are the utilities and of Are there any adverse Site comments: Typic General De Units ⊠ One □ Or # of Stories Type ⊠ Det. □ Att. ⊠ Existing □ Propo Design (Style) Ranch Year Built 1991 Effective Age (Yrs) 15 Attic ☑ Drop Stair	ff-site improvements t e site conditions or ex cal residential site for escription ne with Accessory Unit 1 . S-Det/End Unit Sed Under Const	ternal factors (ea the area. The pro- Markowski factors for Concrete SI Concrete SI Full Basement Basement Area Basement Area Basement Finisl Outside Entr Evidence of Dampness Heating X FW Other	Foundation ab Crawl Space ent Partial Basement 0 sq. ft. h 0 % ry/Exit Sump Pump Infestation Settlement A HWBB Radiant Fuel Gas Fuel Gas	AICUZ Noise Zone. Exterior Descriptic Foundation Walls Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspo Window Type Storm Sash/Insulat Screens Amenities Fireplace(s) #	Concrete Brick Ve Comp SI Duts None SH Alum ed Insulated Yes/Ave Wood 0 \vee Fence	a/Average n/Frm/Ave hingle/Ave ninum/Ave d/Average rage stove(s) # 0 €Wood/CL	Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wains Car Storage X Drivewa Driveway S X Garage	Carpet/Viny Drywall/Ave Wood/Avera Carpet/Ave cot Fiberglass// e None y # of Cars 4 urface Grav/Co # of Cars 2	I/Ave rage age rage Ave pnc/Ave
Gas FEMA Special Flood H Are the utilities and of Are there any adverse Site comments: Typic General De Units One Or # of Stories Type Det. Att. Existing Propo Design (Style) Ranch Year Built 1991 Effective Age (Yrs) 15 Attic Drop Stair H Floor	ff-site improvements t e site conditions or ex cal residential site for escription ne with Accessory Unit 1 S-Det/End Unit seed _ Under Const. 5 _ None _ Stairs ∑ Scuttle	ternal factors (ea the area. The pro- Markowski factors for Concrete Sla Full Basement Basement Area Basement Finisl Outside Entr Evidence of Dampness Heating X FW Other Cooling X Ce	Foundation ab Crawl Space ent Partial Basement 0 sq. ft. h 0 % ry/Exit Sump Pump Infestation Settlement A HWBB Radiant Fuel Gas entral Air Conditioning	AICUZ Noise Zone. Exterior Descriptic Foundation Walls Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspo Window Type Storm Sash/Insulat Screens Amenities Fireplace(s) # X Patio/Deck Cv	Concrete Brick Ve Comp SI buts None SH Alum red Insulated Yes/Ave Wood 0 Fence (/Op Porch	e/Average n/Frm/Ave hingle/Ave ninum/Ave d/Average rage stove(s) # 0 ≥ Wood/CL € Covered	Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wains Car Storage Car Storage Driveway S Carport Carport	Carpet/Viny Drywall/Ave Carpet/Aver Carpet/Aver cot Fiberglass// Mone y # of Cars 4 urface Grav/Co # of Cars 2 # of Cars 0	I/Ave rage age rage Ave Dnc/Ave
Gas ⊠ FEMA Special Flood I Are the utilities and of Are there any adverse Site comments: Typie General De Units ⊠ One □ Or # of Stories Type ⊠ Det. □ Att. ⊠ Existing □ Propo Design (Style) Rancf Year Built 1991 Effective Age (Yrs) 15 Attic ⊠ Drop Stair □ Floor P □ Finished	ff-site improvements t e site conditions or ex cal residential site for escription ne with Accessory Unit 1 S-Det/End Unit Sed _ Under Const. 5 _ None _ Stairs _ Scuttle _ Heated	ternal factors (ea the area. The pro- Markowski factors for Concrete SI: Full Basement Basement Area Basement Finisi Outside Entr Evidence of Dampness Heating X FW Other Cooling X Ce Individual	Foundation ab Crawl Space ent Partial Basement 0 sq. ft. h 0 % ry/Exit Sump Pump Infestation Settlement A HWBB Radiant Fuel Gas Entral Air Conditioning Other Other	AICUZ Noise Zone. Exterior Description Foundation Walls Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspon Window Type Storm Sash/Insulat Screens Amenities Fireplace(s) # M Patio/Deck Cyv Pool 16 x 32	Concrete Brick Ve Comp SI buts None SH Alum red Insulated Yes/Ave Wood 0 Fence //Op X Porch Ing Other	e/Average n/Frm/Ave hingle/Ave ninum/Ave d/Average rage stove(s) # 0 ≥ Wood/CL 1 Covered 0	Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wains Car Storage X Drivewa Driveway S X Garage	Carpet/Viny Drywall/Ave Carpet/Aver Carpet/Aver cot Fiberglass// Mone y # of Cars 4 urface Grav/Co # of Cars 2 # of Cars 0	I/Ave rage age rage Ave pnc/Ave
Gas FEMA Special Flood H Are the utilities and of Are there any adverse Site comments: Typie General De Units One Or # of Stories Type Det. Att Existing Propo Design (Style) Ranch Year Built 1991 Effective Age (Yrs) 15 Attic Drop Stair Floor P Finished Appliances Refrige	ff-site improvements t e site conditions or excal residential site for escription ne with Accessory Unit 1 S-Det/End Unit 1 S-Det/End Unit Source Index Const. None Stairs Scuttle Heated erator IRange/Ove	ternal factors (ea the area. The pro- Markowski factors for Concrete SI Full Basement Basement Area Basement Area Basement Finisi Outside Entr Evidence of Dampness Heating X FW Other Cooling X Ce Individual m XDishwashe	Foundation ab Crawl Space ent Partial Basement 0 sq. ft. h 0 % ry/Exit Sump Pump Infestation	AICUZ Noise Zone. Exterior Description Foundation Walls Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspon Window Type Storm Sash/Insulat Screens Amenities Fireplace(s) # Patio/Deck Cyv Pool 16 x 32 ve Washer/Dryer	Concrete Brick Ve Comp SI puts None SH Alum red Insulated Yes/Ave Wood 0 Fence r/Op Z Porch Ing Other (desc	e/Average n/Frm/Ave hingle/Ave ininum/Ave d/Average rage stove(s) # 0 e>Wood/CL covered 0 o vribe)	Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wains Car Storage Car Storage Cargot Carport Att.	Carpet/Viny Drywall/Ave Carpet/Aver Carpet/Aver cot Fiberglass// Mone y # of Cars 4 urface Grav/Co # of Cars 2 # of Cars 0 Det.	I/Ave rage age rage Ave Dnc/Ave
Gas FEMA Special Flood H Are the utilities and of Are there any adverse Site comments: Typie General De Units One Or # of Stories Type Det. Att. Existing Propo Design (Style) Ranch Year Built 1991 Effective Age (Yrs) 15 Attic Drop Stair Floor P Finished R Appliances Refrige Finished area above	ff-site improvements t e site conditions or ex cal residential site for escription ne with Accessory Unit 1 . S-Det/End Unit sed Under Const. None Stairs Scuttle Heated erator XRange/Ove grade contains:	ternal factors (ea the area. The pro- Markowski factors for Concrete Sli Full Basement Basement Area Basement Area Basement Finisl Outside Entr Evidence of Dampness Heating X FW Other Cooling X Ce Individual n XDishwashe 7 Rooms	Foundation ab Crawl Space ent Partial Basement 0 sq. ft. h 0 % ry/Exit Sump Pump Infestation Settlement A HWBB Radiant Fuel Gas Entral Air Conditioning Infosposal Microway 4 Bedrooms 4	AICUZ Noise Zone. Exterior Description Foundation Walls Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspon Window Type Storm Sash/Insulat Screens Amenities Fireplace(s) # Patio/Deck Cyv Pool 16 x 32 ve _Washer/Dryer 3.0 Bath(s)	Concrete Brick Ve Comp SI puts None SH Alum red Insulated Yes/Ave Wood 0 Fence //Op X Porch Ing Other Other (deso 2,201 Squ	e/Average n/Frm/Ave hingle/Ave hinum/Ave d/Average rage stove(s) # 0 e>Wood/CL cOvered o vribe) uare Feet of Gr	Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wains Car Storage Driveway S Garage Carport Att.	Carpet/Viny Drywall/Ave Carpet/Aver Carpet/Aver cot Fiberglass// e None y # of Cars 4 urface Grav/Co # of Cars 2 # of Cars 0 Det.	I/Ave rage age age Ave Dnc/Ave
Gas FEMA Special Flood H Are the utilities and of Are there any adverse Site comments: Typie General De Units One Or # of Stories Type Det. Att. Existing Propo Design (Style) Ranch Year Built 1991 Effective Age (Yrs) 15 Attic Drop Stair Floor P Finished R Appliances Refrige Finished area above	ff-site improvements t e site conditions or ex cal residential site for escription ne with Accessory Unit 1 . S-Det/End Unit sed Under Const. None Stairs Scuttle Heated erator XRange/Ove grade contains:	ternal factors (ea the area. The pro- Markowski factors for Concrete Sli Full Basement Basement Area Basement Area Basement Finisl Outside Entr Evidence of Dampness Heating X FW Other Cooling X Ce Individual n XDishwashe 7 Rooms	Foundation ab Crawl Space ent Partial Basement 0 sq. ft. h 0 % ry/Exit Sump Pump Infestation Settlement A HWBB Radiant Fuel Gas entral Air Conditioning Other other	AICUZ Noise Zone. Exterior Description Foundation Walls Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspon Window Type Storm Sash/Insulat Screens Amenities Fireplace(s) # Patio/Deck Cyv Pool 16 x 32 ve _Washer/Dryer 3.0 Bath(s)	Concrete Brick Ve Comp SI puts None SH Alum red Insulated Yes/Ave Wood 0 Fence //Op X Porch Ing Other Other (deso 2,201 Squ	e/Average n/Frm/Ave hingle/Ave hinum/Ave d/Average rage stove(s) # 0 e>Wood/CL cOvered o vribe) uare Feet of Gr	Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wains Car Storage Driveway S Garage Carport Att.	Carpet/Viny Drywall/Ave Carpet/Aver Carpet/Aver cot Fiberglass// e None y # of Cars 4 urface Grav/Co # of Cars 2 # of Cars 0 Det.	I/Ave rage age age Ave Dnc/Ave
Gas ⊠ FEMA Special Flood I Are the utilities and of Are there any adverse Site comments: Typic General De Units ⊠ One □ Or # of Stories Type ⊠ Det. □ Att. ⊠ Existing □ Propo Design (Style) Ranch Year Built 1991 Effective Age (Yrs) 15 Attic ⊠ Drop Stair □ Finished Appliances □ Refrige V Finished area above for Additional features (special Describe the condition	ff-site improvements t e site conditions or ex cal residential site for escription ne with Accessory Unit 1 	ternal factors (ea the area. The pro- F Concrete SI: Full Baseme Basement Area Basement Area Basement Finisi Outside Ent Evidence of Dampness Heating X FW Other Cooling X Ce Individual n XDishwashe 7 Rooms titems, etc.) See uding needed rep	Foundation ab Crawl Space ent Partial Basement 0 sq. ft. h 0 % ry/Exit Sump Pump Infestation % Settlement Alainst Fuel Gas % entral Air Conditioning % Microwav 4 Bedrooms above comments. No add %	AICUZ Noise Zone. Exterior Descriptic Foundation Walls Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspo Window Type Storm Sash/Insulat Screens Amenities Fireplace(s) # Z Patio/Deck Cv Pool 16 x 32 ve Washer/Dryer 3.0 Bath(s) ditional special energ	Concrete Brick Ve Comp SI buts None SH Alum ed Insulated Yes/Ave Wood 0 Fence //Op X Porch Ing Other Other (desc 2,201 Squ y efficient items	e/Average n/Frm/Ave hingle/Ave ninum/Ave d/Average rage stove(s) # 0 e Wood/CL i Covered 0 cribe) uare Feet of Gr s were noted a	Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wains Car Storage Driveway S Garage Carport Att.	Carpet/Viny Drywall/Ave Carpet/Aver Carpet/Aver carpet/Aver cot Fiberglass// e None y # of Cars 4 urface Grav/Cd # of Cars 2 # of Cars 0 Det vrea Above Grav inspection.	I/Ave rage age age Ave Dnc/Ave
Gas Gas General De FEMA Special Flood H Are the utilities and of Are there any adverse Site comments: Typic Site comments: Typic Units One Or # of Stories Type Det Att: Att: Existing Propo Design (Style) Ranch Year Built 1991 Effective Age (Yrs) 15 Attic Floor Finished Finished area above Additional features (stress of the subject propose C4. The subject proposed for t	ff-site improvements t e site conditions or ex cal residential site for escription ne with Accessory Unit 1 	ternal factors (ea the area. The pro- F Concrete SI: Full Baseme Basement Area Basement Area Basement Finisi Outside Enti Evidence of Dampness Heating X FW Other Cooling Ce Individual n XDishwashe 7 Rooms titems, etc.) See uding needed rep been adequately	Foundation ab Crawl Space ent Partial Basement 0 sq. ft. h 0 % ry/Exit Sump Pump Infestation % Settlement Alage A HWBB Radiant Fuel Gas % entral Air Conditioning % Other 4 r Disposal Microwav 4 Bedrooms above comments. No ado vairs, deterioration, renoval vaintained and updated.	AICUZ Noise Zone. Exterior Descriptic Foundation Walls Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspo Window Type Storm Sash/Insulat Screens Amenities Fireplace(s) # Z Patio/Deck Cv Pool 16 x 32 ve Washer/Dryer 3.0 Bath(s) ditional special energe tions, remodeling, etc Overall condition of	Concrete Brick Ve Comp SI buts None SH Alum ed Insulated Yes/Ave Wood 0 Fence //Op X Porch Ing Other Other (deso 2,201 Squ y efficient items	e/Average n/Frm/Ave hingle/Ave hingle/Ave d/Average rage stove(s) # 0 e Wood/CL covered 0 cribe) uare Feet of Gr s were noted a	Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wains Car Storage Driveway S Garage Carport Att. oss Living A the time of e. Quality of	Carpet/Viny Drywall/Ave Wood/Averz Carpet/Aver carpet/Aver cot Fiberglass// e None y # of Cars 4 urface Grav/Co # of Cars 2 # of Cars 0 Det rrea Above Grav inspection.	I/Ave rage age age age age age age age age age
Gas ⊠ FEMA Special Flood I Are the utilities and of Are there any adverse Site comments: Typic General De Units ⊠ One □ Or # of Stories Type ⊠ Det. □ Attt ⊠ Existing □ Propo Design (Style) Ranch Year Built 1991 Effective Age (Yrs) 15 Attic Drop Stair □ Drop Stair □ Drop Stair □ Finished R Appliances □ Refrige V Finished area above f Additional features (sp © N Describe the condition S C4. The subject prop as average. Function	ff-site improvements t e site conditions or ex cal residential site for escription the with Accessory Unit the with Accessory Unit the with Accessory Unit the sed Under Const.	ternal factors (ea the area. The pro- F Concrete Sk Full Baseme Basement Area Basement Area Basement Finisi Outside Enti Evidence of Dampness Heating X FW Other Cooling X Ce Individual n XDishwashe 7 Rooms items, etc.) See uding needed rep been adequately sed in the cost a	Foundation ab Crawl Space ent Partial Basement 0 sq. ft. h 0 % ry/Exit Sump Pump Infestation % Settlement Alassement A HWBB Radiant Fuel Gas % entral Air Conditioning % Image: Dther % A Bedrooms above comments. No add wairs, deterioration, renoval wairs, deterioration, renoval wairs, deterioration, and updated. pproach in the amount equ	AICUZ Noise Zone. Exterior Descriptic Foundation Walls Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspo Window Type Storm Sash/Insulat Screens Amenities Fireplace(s) # Pool 16 x 32 ve Washer/Dryer 3.0 Bath(s) ditional special energent tions, remodeling, etc Overall condition of ial to the difference in	Concrete Brick Ve Comp SI buts None SH Alum ed Insulated Yes/Ave Wood 0 Fence //Op X Porch Ing Other Other (deso 2,201 Squ y efficient items	e/Average n/Frm/Ave hingle/Ave hingle/Ave d/Average rage stove(s) # 0 e Wood/CL covered 0 cribe) uare Feet of Gr s were noted a	Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wains Car Storage Driveway S Garage Carport Att. oss Living A the time of e. Quality of	Carpet/Viny Drywall/Ave Wood/Averz Carpet/Aver carpet/Aver cot Fiberglass// e None y # of Cars 4 urface Grav/Co # of Cars 2 # of Cars 0 Det rrea Above Grav inspection.	I/Ave rage age age age age age age age age age
Gas ⊠ FEMA Special Flood I Are the utilities and of Are there any adverse Site comments: Typic General De Units ⊠ One □ Or # of Stories Type ⊠ Det. □ Attt ⊠ Existing □ Propo Design (Style) Ranch Year Built 1991 Effective Age (Yrs) 15 Attic Drop Stair □ Drop Stair □ Drop Stair □ Finished R Appliances □ Refrige V Finished area above f Additional features (sp © N Describe the condition S C4. The subject prop as average. Function	ff-site improvements t e site conditions or ex cal residential site for escription the with Accessory Unit the with Accessory Unit the with Accessory Unit the sed Under Const.	ternal factors (ea the area. The pro- F Concrete Sk Full Baseme Basement Area Basement Area Basement Finisi Outside Enti Evidence of Dampness Heating X FW Other Cooling X Ce Individual n XDishwashe 7 Rooms items, etc.) See uding needed rep been adequately sed in the cost a	Foundation ab Crawl Space ent Partial Basement 0 sq. ft. h 0 % ry/Exit Sump Pump Infestation % Settlement Alage A HWBB Radiant Fuel Gas % entral Air Conditioning % Other 4 r Disposal Microwav 4 Bedrooms above comments. No ado vairs, deterioration, renoval vaintained and updated.	AICUZ Noise Zone. Exterior Descriptic Foundation Walls Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspo Window Type Storm Sash/Insulat Screens Amenities Fireplace(s) # Pool 16 x 32 ve Washer/Dryer 3.0 Bath(s) ditional special energent tions, remodeling, etc Overall condition of ial to the difference in	Concrete Brick Ve Comp SI buts None SH Alum ed Insulated Yes/Ave Wood 0 Fence //Op X Porch Ing Other Other (deso 2,201 Squ y efficient items	e/Average n/Frm/Ave hingle/Ave hingle/Ave d/Average rage stove(s) # 0 e Wood/CL 1 Covered 0 cribe) uare Feet of Gr s were noted a	Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wains Car Storage Driveway S Garage Carport Att. oss Living A the time of e. Quality of	Carpet/Viny Drywall/Ave Wood/Averz Carpet/Aver carpet/Aver cot Fiberglass// e None y # of Cars 4 urface Grav/Co # of Cars 2 # of Cars 0 Det rrea Above Grav inspection.	I/Ave rage age age age age ponc/Ave Built-in de
Gas ⊠ FEMA Special Flood I Are the utilities and of Are there any adverse Site comments: Typic General De Units ⊠ One □ Or # of Stories Type ⊠ Det. □ Att ⊠ Existing □ Propo Design (Style) Rancf Year Built 1991 Effective Age (Yrs) 19 Attic Drop Stair □ Drop Stair □ Finished Appliances □ Refrige V Finished area above 1 Additional features (spect N Describe the condition S C4. The subject prop as average. Function absorbed by the local	ff-site improvements t e site conditions or ex cal residential site for escription ne with Accessory Unit 1 	ternal factors (ea the area. The pro- File area. The pro- File area. The pro- File area. The pro- File area. The pro- Basement File Basement Area Basement Area Basement Area Basement Area Basement Area Basement Area Basement Area Basement Area Doutside Ent Evidence of Doutside Ent Evidence of Do		AlCUZ Noise Zone.	Concrete Brick Ve Comp SI SH Alum ed Insulated Yes/Ave Wood 0 Fence (/Op X Porch Ing Other (desc 2,201 Squ y efficient items 5.). the subject is r	e/Average n/Frm/Ave hingle/Ave inum/Ave //Average rage stove(s) # 0 PWood/CL Covered 0 oribe) uare Feet of Gr s were noted a rated as average ed cost of the in	Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wains Car Storage Driveway S Garage Carport Att. coss Living A tt. e. Quality of ground po	Carpet/Viny Drywall/Ave Wood/Avera Carpet/Avera Carpet/Avera cot Fiberglass// De None y # of Cars 4 urface Grav/Cc # of Cars 2 # of Cars 0 Det. vrea Above Grad inspection. of construction i ol and that value	I/Ave rage age age bonc/Ave Built-ir Built-ir de s rated e
Gas Gas General De FEMA Special Flood H Are the utilities and of Are there any adverse Site comments: Typic Site comments: Typic Units One Or # of Stories Type One Or # of Stories Type One Or Design (Style) Ranch Year Built 1991 Effective Age (Yrs) 15 Attic Floor Finished Pinished Area above Finished area	ff-site improvements t e site conditions or ex cal residential site for escription ne with Accessory Unit 1 	ternal factors (ea the area. The pro- File area. The pro- File area. The pro- File area. The pro- File area. The pro- Basement File Basement Area Basement Area Basement Area Basement Area Basement Area Basement Area Basement Area Basement Area Doutside Ent Evidence of Doutside Ent Evidence of Do	Foundation ab Crawl Space ent Partial Basement 0 sq. ft. h 0 % ry/Exit Sump Pump Infestation % Settlement Alassement A HWBB Radiant Fuel Gas % entral Air Conditioning % Image: Dther % A Bedrooms above comments. No add wairs, deterioration, renoval wairs, deterioration, renoval wairs, deterioration, and updated. pproach in the amount equ	AlCUZ Noise Zone.	Concrete Brick Ve Comp SI SH Alum ed Insulated Yes/Ave Wood 0 Fence (/Op X Porch Ing Other (desc 2,201 Squ y efficient items 5.). the subject is r	e/Average n/Frm/Ave hingle/Ave inum/Ave //Average rage stove(s) # 0 PWood/CL Covered 0 oribe) uare Feet of Gr s were noted a rated as average ed cost of the in	Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wains Car Storage Driveway S Garage Carport Att. coss Living A tt. e. Quality of ground po	Carpet/Viny Drywall/Ave Wood/Avera Carpet/Avera Carpet/Avera cot Fiberglass// De None y # of Cars 4 urface Grav/Cc # of Cars 2 # of Cars 0 Det. vrea Above Grad inspection. of construction i ol and that value	I/Ave rage age age bonc/Ave Built-ir Built-ir de s rated e

Condition C4		Ur	niform Re	sidential	Apprai	sal Report	Case No. File # 50	-50-6-0214TRA-LAPF
Particle SUBJECT COMMANDEL SALE F 2 COMMANDEL SALE F 2 Addea 255 Mort Adda K 500 Sondog Davie Bornelli, AN 7243 Boonelli, AN 7243 Addea 255 Mort Adda K 500 Sondog Davie Bornelli, AN 7243 Boonelli, AN 7243 Sile Froco 3 NA 1 5 150.00 Sile Froco 3 NA 1 15 150.00 Sile Froco 3 NA 1 15 150.00 10 150.00 100.00 </td <td>There are 7 compara</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>to \$199,9</td> <td>00</td>	There are 7 compara						to \$199,9	00
Address 285 Non-Neth Hall Sam Rold 1810 Diskings Dive Boornellik, AR 724-3 Boornelik, AR 724-3 Boornelik	There are 4 compara	ble sales in the subj	ect neighborhood with	in the past twelve me	onths ranging in	sale price from \$ 143,000) to \$ ^	199,900
Magazine, AR 7284 Boorelie, AR 7284 Boorelie, AR 7284 Boorelie, AR 7284 Boorelie, AR 7284 Sig - Processor S NN S 150 mine W 150 mine W S 150 mine W		SUBJECT					COMPARA	BLE SALE # 3
Provinty 0 Subod Into the V Sign Proc. Public Records P			•				•	
Sale Price S NA B 159.00 B 149.00 T so th 159.00 Dals Score(i) NA S 81.8 73 so th NA NA S 31.0 NA NA S 31.0 NA NA S 31.0 NA	0 ,	2943		43		(72943		943
State Biological Structure State Structure <th< td=""><td>, ,</td><td></td><td>-</td><td>¢ (55.000</td><td>5.63 miles W</td><td>1</td><td>-</td><td></td></th<>	, ,		-	¢ (55.000	5.63 miles W	1	-	
Data Status Server (1) NLS Structure (1) Str				\$ 155,000	^			
Verification Source() Path Records Path Records Path Records Path Records Well RAULS MUSTINES DESCRPTION H+15 Adjustment Amt.In		\$ sq. π.						
MULE AULSTINENTS DESCRIPTION I+() 5 Adjustment DESCRIPTION I+() 5 Adjustment DESCRIPTION I+() 5 Adjustment Concessions Conv.Link				MC				OOM
Sale or Finanzing Concessions Mritith Arritith Arritith Arritith Date of Sale Time Sale or Finanzing Concessions Sale or Finanzing Conce		DESCRIPTION		() C Adjustment				() C Adjustment
Consistion Derivative Conv.luk Conv.luk Ret0 Hole Date of Sin Time Stip Link 0 10102_1100_0 0 1110_00100_0 0 Date of Sin Time NBes		DESCRIPTION		+(-) \$ Adjustment		JN +(-) \$ Adjustment		+(-) \$ Adjustment
Date of SeletTime Internet Unspectable 0 Structure 0 Structure 0 Lessehold/Fee Simple Fee Simple	0							
London NRes NRes NRes NRes NRes NRes Stem Off Per Simple Fee Single				0				0
Inserted PEP Simple Fee Simple 110000 77.0 ar. (ent)		N'Res		0	1	0	1	0
Site 70 ac 39 ac -15.000 228 ac -10.000 273 ac (ac) 10.000 Verw N Bas							1	
West N Res N Res N Res N Res N Res Quality of Construction Q4 Q4 Q4 Q3 -5.000 Quality of Construction Q4 Q4 Q4 Q3 -5.000 Condition Cd. Q4				-15 000		-10 000		-10 000
Design (2)N(e) Banch								
Gually of Construction 04 04 04 02 0.018 0.000 Condition C4			1		1		1	
Attual Age 19 21 0 0 18 00 Condition C4 C4 C4 C4 C4 C4 Above Goade Total (130) 130 130 211 +1000 6 3 20 +22.000 13 200 +22.000 130 20 +22.000 130 20 +22.000 130 20 +22.000 130 20 +22.000 130 20 +22.000 130 20 +22.000 130 20 +22.000 130 20 +22.000 130 20 +22.000 130 20 +22.000 130 20 +22.000 130 20 +22.000 130 20 +22.000 130 20 130 00 <								-5.000
Abore Grade Team Barris Baris Baris			1	0		0		0,000
Above Grade Total Bars Bars Total Bars Bars <th< td=""><td>Condition</td><td>C4</td><td>C4</td><td></td><td>C4</td><td></td><td>C4</td><td></td></th<>	Condition	C4	C4		C4		C4	
Gross Nump Area 2201s p. t 1919 p. t +10.200 1959 p. t +9.800 2200 sp. t 0 Basement F, Thank 0.8161 0.8161 0.8161 0.8161 0.8161 0.8161 Functional UNIty Average Average 0.8162.01 <td>Above Grade</td> <td></td> <td>s Total Bdrms. Baths</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Baths</td> <td></td> <td>s</td>	Above Grade		s Total Bdrms. Baths			Baths		s
Basement & Finished @ Differ	Room Count	7 4 3.0	6 3 2.1	+1,000	6 3	2.0 +2,000	6 3 2.0	+2,000
Booms Selaw Grade Chroho Obaolo Ordbox Obaolo Ordbox Obaolo Functional ULINY Average Average Average Heating/Cooling WFA/Cntl WFA/Cntl WFA/Cntl WFA/Cntl Carage/Carpot 2 Garage 2 Carage 2 Det Garage 2 Det Garage Correct/District Cou/Doen Port Port Port Port Other Features Ne PFX/Since 44,000 Frequese 44,000 Frequese 44,000 Other Features Ne PFX/Since 44,000 Frequese 44,000 Frequese 44,000 Other Features Ne Adjustes Sale Ne Ad	Gross Living Area	2,201 sq. ft	t. 1,910 sq. ft.					
Exercision Utility Average Average Average Average Average Average Heating/Cooling WFACnth WFACnth WFACnth WFACnth WFACnth Gange/Capot 2 Garage 2 Carage 2 Data Samped 2 Data Samped CortXP18a/DOR Corv_DPort Cov_DPorth 0 Deck, Patho 0 Cov_DPorth Other Features No FF/Stm Celler FrepLace 44.000 FrepLace 44.000 Cov_DPorth Fence, Patho 0 Patho Deck, Patho 0 Deck, Patho 0 Deck, Patho 0 Deck, Patho Verduptamment (Total) Everduptamment Everduptamment 0 Patho N Average 44.000 Verduptamment (Total) Everduptamment Everduptamment 10.800 Deck, SM 19.2 % 15.300 Corose Additional State Verduptamment Everduptamment S 0.200 M average N Average N Average N Average N Average Average Average Average Average Average N Average N Average N Average N Average N Ave	Basement & Finished							
Heading/Cooling WFA/Cnrl WFA/Cnrl WFA/Cnrl WFA/Cnrl Energy Efficient Nem Therm Winds Therm Winds Therm Winds Therm Winds Grange/Curpot 2 Garage 2 Carage 2 Our Garage 2 Our Garage 2 Our Garage Orber Features No FR/Endice 4,000 Fregiolace 4,000 Fregiolace 4,000 Other Features No FR/Endice Cells O Decks, Patio 0 Cov. Parch 0 Other Features No FR/Endice Cells O Decks, Patio 0 0 Vert Adjusted Sam Samo Samo 0		0rr0br0.0ba0o	0rr0br0.0ba0o		0rr0br0.0ba0c			<u> </u>
Energy Efficient Items Therm Winds Therm Winds Therm Winds Brasegy/Export 2 Garage 2 Garage 2 Det Garage 2 Det Garage Other Features No FPS im Caller Finglace 44.000 Finglace 44.000 Other Features No FPS im Caller Finglace 44.000 Finglace 44.000 Net Adjustment (Total) Net Adj. 3.4% 0 Paintonic Additional State 0 Adjustment (Total) Net Adj. 3.4% 106.000 Fince. 80,804 40.000 Fince. 70,000 Adjustment (Total) Net Adj. 3.4% 106.000 Fince. 40,000				ļ				
Garage/Carport 2 Garage 2 Carage 2 Det Garage 2 Det Garage Porth/Patio/Deck Cov/Open Port Cov/Open Port 0 Cov/Open Port 0 Other Features No FPRom Celler Finplace 44.000 Finplace 44.000 Finplace 44.000 Other Features No Fore Decks, Cv/Pat 0 Patio, Deck 0 Decks, Patio 0 Net Adjusted Sale Porth Enc. Stg Bid 46.000 Fine Pation 7,000 Net Adjusted Sale Price Net Adjust Sale Price Net Adjusted Sale 10.000 1								
Port/InitioDeck Cov/Dops Par Cov/Dops Par Cov/Dops Par 0 Decks, Cv Pat 0 Paido Deck 0 Decks, Pailo 0 Decks, Pailo Paido Deck 4000 Firstow Paido Deck Paido Deck <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td><td></td></th<>							1	
Other Features No FPStm Celler Features H of PStm Celler Features H of PStm Celler Ho	- · ·		U U				1	
CV/Op/SLP bit Decks, CV: Pat 0 Patio. Deck 0 Decks, Patio 0 Net Adjustment (Total) Net Adj 1,500 Fince, Pool <								0
Fence, Peol Fence, Bar +5.000 Fence, Stig Bit +0.000 Fence 7.000 Net Adjusted Sale Price Net Adj. 3.4.% 5.0.00 Net Adj. 1.8.% 2.000 Adjusted Sale Price Net Adj. 3.4.% 160,000 Fence, Sig Bit + 2.2.% S 153,800 Cross Adj. 12.2.% \$ 154,500 Comparables Comparables Comparable sales or transfers of the subject property and comparable sales. Intel Adjusted Sale Price S 153,800 Cross Adj. 12.2.% \$ 154,500 My research Cid Q did not reveal any prior sales or transfers of the comparable sales for the years prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale. Data source(s) Logan County Assessor's Files Wy research Cid Q did not reveal any prior sales or transfers of the comparable sales for the year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale. Data Source(s) ComPARABLE SALE #1	Other Features			,				,
Net Adjustment (Total) Net Adj. \$ 5,200 Net Adj. \$ 10,800 P & 2. \$ 2,000 Adjusted Sale Price Orders Adj. 24.% \$ 160,200 Net Adj. 7.6% Net Adj. 1.3% \$ 154,500 Comparables Gross Adj. 22.% \$ 153,800 Gross Adj. 1.92% \$ 154,500 My research did did not reveal any prior sales or transfers of the subject property and comparable sales. If not, explain My research did did not reveal any prior sales or transfers of the comparable sales for the years prior to the date of ale of the comparable sale. Data source(s) Logan County Assessor's Files My research did di not reveal any prior sales or transfer history of the subject property and comparable sales (report additional prior sales on page 3). TIEM SUBJECT COMPARABLE SALE # 1 COMPARABLE SALE # 2 COMPARABLE SALE # 2 Date of Prior Sale/Transfer No sales Public Records Public Records Public Records Public Records Public Records Public Records Public Records Public Records Flexible of prior sale fransfer No sales No additional sales or transfer history of the subject property and comparable sales No additional sales for not the subject adveling adjustiment was necessary files in order								-
Adjusting Net Adj 3.4 % 1000 Peter Adj 7.6 % 153.800 Net Adj 1.3 % 154.500 I (2) did () did not research the sale or transfer history of the subject property and comparable sales. If not, explain 153.800 Cross Adj 19.2 % \$ 154.500 I (2) did () did not reveal any prior sales or transfers of the subject property for the three years prior to the effective date of this appraisal. Data source(s) Logan County Assessor's Files My research () did (2) did not reveal any prior sales or transfers of the comparable sales for the year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale. Data source(s) Logan County Assessor's Files No additional sales found No additional sales found No additional sales found Report the results of the research and analysis of the prior sale or transfer history of the subject property and comparable sales (report additional prior sales on page 3). COMPARABLE SALE # 1 COMPARABLE SALE # 2 COMPARABLE SALE # 3 CodMPARABLE SALE # 3 <td>Net Adjustment (Total)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td>	Net Adjustment (Total)							-
or Comparables Gross Àdj. 24.0% \$ 160.200 Gross Àdj. 22.9% \$ 153.800 Gross Àdj. 19.2 % \$ 154.500 I ⊗ did _ did not research the sale or transfer history of the subject property and comparable sales. If not, explain Wresearch _ did ⊠ did not reveal any prior sales or transfers of the subject property for the three years prior to the effective date of this appraisal. Data source(s) Logan County Assessor's Files Wy research _ did ⊠ did not reveal any prior sales or transfers of the comparable sales for the year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale. Data source(s) Logan County Assessor's Files Wy research _ did ⊠ did not reveal any prior sales or transfer history of the subject property and comparable sales (report additional prior sales on page 3). TEM SUBJECT COMPARABLE SALE # 1 COMPARABLE SALE # 2 COMPARABLE SALE # 2 COMPARABLE SALE # 2 COMPARABLE SALE # 3 Data of Prior Sale/Transfer No sales Past 36 months. Past 36 months. Pas				ψ 3,200				Ψ -2,000
Image:				\$ 160,200		.9% \$ 153.800	Gross Adj. 19.2 %	6 \$ 154,500
Report the results of the research and analysis of the prior sale or transfer history of the subject property and comparable sales (report additional prior sales on page 3). IEM SUBJECT COMPARABLE SALE #1 COMPARABLE SALE #2 COMPARABLE SALE	My research 🗌 did 🔀 did	d not reveal any prior	r sales or transfers of	the comparable sale	s for the year pri	or to the date of sale of th	ne comparable sale.	
ITEM SUBJECT COMPARABLE SALE # 1 COMPARABLE SALE # 2 COMPARABLE SALE # 2 Date of Prior Sale/Transfer No additional sales found Past 36 months. Past				anofor history of the c	ubicat property	and comparable cales (re	nort additional prior	
Date of Prior Sale/Transfer No sales No additional sales found No additional sales found Past 36 months. Data Source(s) Public Records Public Records Public Records Public Records Public Records Effective Date of Data Source(s) 12/07/2010 12/07/2010 12/07/2010 12/07/2010 Analysis of prior sale or transfer history of the subject property and comparable sales 12/07/2010 12/07/2010 Summary of Sales Comparison Approach The subject is a typical dwelling in the defined neighborhood. Appraiser made an extensive search of the local MLS and Logan County Assessor's files in order to locate adequalely similar sales to present as comparables in the report. Limited recently closed transactions were located. Therefore, those closing in the past twelve months were considered. Four sales were reviewed. The most similar three sales were presented as comparables in the report. Sales were more distant than generally desired for suburban areas. However, they were considered the best available as of the effective date of the appraisal report. Site adjustment was necessary on Sale #3. Remaining adjustments should be self explanatory. Sales received equal consideration in arriving at final value for the subject property. Indicated Value by: Sales Comparison Approach \$ 155,000 Indicated Value by: Sales Comparison Approach to Value as adequate comparable sales were located. The Cost Approach (if developed) \$ N/A Most emphasis placed on the Sales Co								
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer past 36 months. Data Source(s) Public Records Public Records Public Records Public Records Public Records Public Records Effective Date of Data Source(s) 12/07/2010 12/07/2010 12/07/2010 12/07/2010 12/07/2010 Analysis of prior sale or transfer history of the subject property and comparable sales 12/07/2010 12/07/2010 12/07/2010 Summary of Sales Comparison Approach The subject is a typical dwelling in the defined neighborhood. Appraiser made an extensive search of the local MLS and Logan County Assessor's files in order to locate adequately similar sales to present as comparables in the report. Limited recently closed transactions were located. Therefore, those closing in the past twelve months were considered. Four sales were reviewed. The most similar three sales were presented as comparables in the report. Sales were more distant than generally desired for suburban areas. However, they were considered the best available as of the effective date of the appraisal report. Site adjustments were made based on the difference in the subject's estimated site value and the estimated site value of each comparable sale. No square footage adjustment was necessary on Sale #3. Remaining adjustments should be self explanatory. Sales received equal consideration in arriving at final value for the subject property. Indicated Value by: Sales Comparison Approach \$155,000 Cost Approach			SUBJECT					
Data Source(s) Public Records Public Records Public Records Effective Date of Data Source(s) 12/07/2010 12/07/2010 12/07/2010 Analysis of prior sale or transfer history of the subject property and comparable sales 12/07/2010 12/07/2010 Summary of Sales Comparison Approach The subject is a typical dwelling in the defined neighborhood. Appraiser made an extensive search of the local MLS and Logan County Assessor's files in order to locate adequately similar sales to present as comparables in the report. Limited recently closed transactions were located. Therefore, those closing in the past twelve months were considered. Four sales were reviewed. The most similar three sales were presented as comparables in the report. Sales were more distant than generally desired for suburban areas. However, they were considered the best available as of the effective date of the appraisal report. Site adjustments were made based on the difference in the subject's estimated site value and the estimated site value of each comparable sale. No square footage adjustment was necessary on Sale #3. Remaining adjustments should be self explanatory. Sales received equal consideration in arriving at final value for the subject property. Indicated Value by: Sales Comparison Approach \$ 155,000 Cost Approach on the Sales Comparison Approach os tals as adequate comparable sales were located. The Cost Approach, (if developed) \$ NA Most emphasis placed on the Sales Comparison Approach to Value as adequate comparable sales were located. The Cost Approach, which is considered applicable, supports this			athe		Iouna			
Effective Date of Data Source(s) 12/07/2010								
Analysis of prior sale or transfer history of the subject property and comparable sales Summary of Sales Comparison Approach The subject is a typical dwelling in the defined neighborhood. Appraiser made an extensive search of the local MLS and Logan County Assessor's files in order to locate adequately similar sales to present as comparables in the report. Limited recently closed transactions were located. Therefore, those closing in the past twelve months were considered. Four sales were reviewed. The most similar three sales were presented as comparables in the report. Sales were more distant than generally desired for suburban areas. However, they were considered the best available as of the effective date of the appraisal report. Site adjustments were made based on the difference in the subject's estimated site value and the estimated site value of each comparable sale. No square footage adjustment was necessary on Sale #3. Remaining adjustments should be self explanatory. Sales received equal consideration in arriving at final value for the subject property. Indicated Value by: Sales Comparison Approach \$ 155,000 Indicated Value by: Sales Comparison Approach \$ 155,000 Indicated Value by: Sales Comparison Approach to Value as adequate comparable sales were located. The Cost Approach (if developed) \$ NA Most emphasis placed on the Sales Comparison Approach to Value as adequate comparable sales were located. The Cost Approach, which is considered applicable, supports this conclusion. The Income Approach to Utilized as insufficient data exists from which to form a meaningful conclusion. None. The subject to the following repairs or alterations on the basis of a hypothetical condition that the improvements have been completed, □ subject to the following repairs or alterations on the basis of a hypothetical condition that the improvements have been completed, □ subject to the following repairs or alterations on the basis of a hypothetical condition that the improvements have been completed, □ subject to the fol								
Summary of Sales Comparison Approach The subject is a typical dwelling in the defined neighborhood. Appraiser made an extensive search of the local MLS and Logan County Assessor's files in order to locate adequately similar sales to present as comparables in the report. Limited recently closed transactions were located. Therefore, those closing in the past twelve months were considered. Four sales were reviewed. The most similar three sales were presented as comparables in the report. Sales were more distant than generally desired for suburban areas. However, they were considered the best available as of the effective date of the appraisal report. Site adjustments were made based on the difference in the subjects estimated site value and the estimated site value of each comparable sale. No square footage adjustment was necessary on Sale #3. Remaining adjustments should be self explanatory. Sales received equal consideration in arriving at final value for the subject property. Indicated Value by Sales Comparison Approach § 155,000 Indicated Value by: Sales Comparison Approach § 155,000 Most emphasis placed on the Sales Comparison Approach to Value as adequate comparable sales were located. The Cost Approach, which is considered applicable, supports this conclusion. The Income Approach to Utilized as insufficient data exists from which to form a meaningful conclusion. None. The subject meets VA minimum property standards in its "as is" condition. This appraisal is made X "as is",							12/07/20	
The subject is a typical dwelling in the defined neighborhood. Appraiser made an extensive search of the local MLS and Logan County Assessor's files in order to locate adequately similar sales to present as comparables in the report. Limited recently closed transactions were located. Therefore, those closing in the past twelve months were considered. Four sales were reviewed. The most similar three sales were presented as comparables in the report. Sales were more distant than generally desired for suburban areas. However, they were considered the best available as of the effective date of the appraisal report. Site adjustments were made based on the difference in the subject's estimated site value and the estimated site value of each comparable sale. No square footage adjustment was necessary on Sale #3. Remaining adjustments should be self explanatory. Sales received equal consideration in arriving at final value for the subject property. Indicated Value by Sales Comparison Approach \$ 155,000 Indicated Value by: Sales Comparison Approach \$ 155,000 Cost Approach (if developed) \$159,769 Income Approach (if developed) \$ N/A Most emphasis placed on the Sales Comparison Approach to Value as adequate comparable sales were located. The Cost Approach, which is considered applicable, supports this conclusion. The Income Approach to utilized as insufficient data exists from which to form a meaningful conclusion. None. The subject meets VA minimum property standards in its "as is" condition. This appraisal is made [3 "as is",			- Jeer property and 0					
The subject is a typical dwelling in the defined neighborhood. Appraiser made an extensive search of the local MLS and Logan County Assessor's files in order to locate adequately similar sales to present as comparables in the report. Limited recently closed transactions were located. Therefore, those closing in the past twelve months were considered. Four sales were reviewed. The most similar three sales were presented as comparables in the report. Sales were more distant than generally desired for suburban areas. However, they were considered the best available as of the effective date of the appraisal report. Site adjustments were made based on the difference in the subject's estimated site value and the estimated site value of each comparable sale. No square footage adjustment was necessary on Sale #3. Remaining adjustments should be self explanatory. Sales received equal consideration in arriving at final value for the subject property. Indicated Value by Sales Comparison Approach \$ 155,000 Indicated Value by: Sales Comparison Approach \$ 155,000 Nost emphasis placed on the Sales Comparison Approach to Value as adequate comparable sales were located. The Cost Approach (if developed) \$ N/A Nost emphasis placed on the Sales Comparison Approach to Value as insufficient data exists from which to form a meaningful conclusion. None. The subject meets VA minimum property standards in its "as is" condition. This appraisal is made [2] "as is",								
The subject is a typical dwelling in the defined neighborhood. Appraiser made an extensive search of the local MLS and Logan County Assessor's files in order to locate adequately similar sales to present as comparables in the report. Limited recently closed transactions were located. Therefore, those closing in the past twelve months were considered. Four sales were reviewed. The most similar three sales were presented as comparables in the report. Sales were more distant than generally desired for suburban areas. However, they were considered the best available as of the effective date of the appraisal report. Site adjustments were made based on the difference in the subject's estimated site value and the estimated site value of each comparable sale. No square footage adjustment was necessary on Sale #3. Remaining adjustments should be self explanatory. Sales received equal consideration in arriving at final value for the subject property. Indicated Value by Sales Comparison Approach \$ 155,000 Indicated Value by: Sales Comparison Approach \$ 155,000 Cost Approach (if developed) \$159,769 Income Approach (if developed) \$ N/A Most emphasis placed on the Sales Comparison Approach to Value as adequate comparable sales were located. The Cost Approach, which is considered applicable, supports this conclusion. The Income Approach to utilized as insufficient data exists from which to form a meaningful conclusion. None. The subject meets VA minimum property standards in its "as is" condition. This appraisal is made [3 "as is",								
The subject is a typical dwelling in the defined neighborhood. Appraiser made an extensive search of the local MLS and Logan County Assessor's files in order to locate adequately similar sales to present as comparables in the report. Limited recently closed transactions were located. Therefore, those closing in the past twelve months were considered. Four sales were reviewed. The most similar three sales were presented as comparables in the report. Sales were more distant than generally desired for suburban areas. However, they were considered the best available as of the effective date of the appraisal report. Site adjustments were made based on the difference in the subject's estimated site value and the estimated site value of each comparable sale. No square footage adjustment was necessary on Sale #3. Remaining adjustments should be self explanatory. Sales received equal consideration in arriving at final value for the subject property. Indicated Value by Sales Comparison Approach \$ 155,000 Indicated Value by: Sales Comparison Approach \$ 155,000 Cost Approach (if developed) \$159,769 Income Approach (if developed) \$ N/A Most emphasis placed on the Sales Comparison Approach to Value as adequate comparable sales were located. The Cost Approach, which is considered applicable, supports this conclusion. The Income Approach to utilized as insufficient data exists from which to form a meaningful conclusion. None. The subject meets VA minimum property standards in its "as is" condition. This appraisal is made [3 "as is",								
The subject is a typical dwelling in the defined neighborhood. Appraiser made an extensive search of the local MLS and Logan County Assessor's files in order to locate adequately similar sales to present as comparables in the report. Limited recently closed transactions were located. Therefore, those closing in the past twelve months were considered. Four sales were reviewed. The most similar three sales were presented as comparables in the report. Sales were more distant than generally desired for suburban areas. However, they were considered the best available as of the effective date of the appraisal report. Site adjustments were made based on the difference in the subject's estimated site value and the estimated site value of each comparable sale. No square footage adjustment was necessary on Sale #3. Remaining adjustments should be self explanatory. Sales received equal consideration in arriving at final value for the subject property. Indicated Value by Sales Comparison Approach \$ 155,000 Indicated Value by: Sales Comparison Approach \$ 155,000 Cost Approach (if developed) \$159,769 Income Approach (if developed) \$ N/A Most emphasis placed on the Sales Comparison Approach to Value as adequate comparable sales were located. The Cost Approach, which is considered applicable, supports this conclusion. The Income Approach to utilized as insufficient data exists from which to form a meaningful conclusion. None. The subject meets VA minimum property standards in its "as is" condition. This appraisal is made [3 "as is",						. <u></u>		
to locate adequately similar sales to present as comparables in the report. Limited recently closed transactions were located. Therefore, those closing in the past twelve months were considered. Four sales were reviewed. The most similar three sales were presented as comparables in the report. Sales were more distant than generally desired for suburban areas. However, they were considered the best available as of the effective date of the appraisal report. Site adjustments were made based on the difference in the subject's estimated site value and the estimated site value of each comparable sale. No square footage adjustment was necessary on Sale #3. Remaining adjustments should be self explanatory. Sales received equal consideration in arriving at final value for the subject property. Indicated Value by Sales Comparison Approach \$ 155,000 Indicated Value by: Sales Comparison Approach \$ 155,000 Cost Approach (if developed) \$159,769 Income Approach (if developed) \$ N/A Most emphasis placed on the Sales Comparison Approach to Value as adequate comparable sales were located. The Cost Approach (if developed) \$ N/A Supports this conclusion. The Income Approach not utilized as insufficient data exists from which to form a meaningful conclusion. None. The subject meets VA minimum property standards in its "as is" condition. This appraisal is made ⊠ "as is",	Summary of Sales Compa	ison Approach						
months were considered. Four sales were reviewed. The most similar three sales were presented as comparables in the report. Sales were more distant than generally desired for suburban areas. However, they were considered the best available as of the effective date of the appraisal report. Site adjustments were made based on the difference in the subject's estimated site value and the estimated site value of each comparable sale. No square footage adjustment was necessary on Sale #3. Remaining adjustments should be self explanatory. Sales received equal consideration in arriving at final value for the subject property. Indicated Value by Sales Comparison Approach \$ 155,000 Indicated Value by: Sales Comparison Approach \$ 155,000 Cost Approach (if developed) \$159,769 Income Approach (if developed) \$ N/A Most emphasis placed on the Sales Comparison Approach to Value as adequate comparable sales were located. The Cost Approach, which is considered applicable, supports this conclusion. The Income Approach not utilized as insufficient data exists from which to form a meaningful conclusion. None. The subject meets VA minimum property standards in its "as is" condition. This appraisal is made ⊠ "as is",	The subject is a typical dw	elling in the defined	neighborhood. Appra	aiser made an extens	ive search of the	e local MLS and Logan C	ounty Assessor's file	s in order
desired for suburban areas. However, they were considered the best available as of the effective date of the appraisal report. Site adjustments were made based on the difference in the subject's estimated site value and the estimated site value of each comparable sale. No square footage adjustment was necessary on Sale #3. Remaining adjustments should be self explanatory. Sales received equal consideration in arriving at final value for the subject property. Indicated Value by Sales Comparison Approach \$ 155,000 Indicated Value by: Sales Comparison Approach \$ 155,000 Cost Approach (if developed) \$159,769 Income Approach (if developed) \$ N/A Most emphasis placed on the Sales Comparison Approach to Value as adequate comparable sales were located. The Cost Approach, which is considered applicable, supports this conclusion. The Income Approach not utilized as insufficient data exists from which to form a meaningful conclusion. None. The subject meets VA minimum property standards in its "as is" condition. This appraisal is made (as is; ",					,			
difference in the subject's estimated site value and the estimated site value of each comparable sale. No square footage adjustment was necessary on Sale #3. Remaining adjustments should be self explanatory. Sales received equal consideration in arriving at final value for the subject property. Indicated Value by Sales Comparison Approach \$ 155,000 Indicated Value by: Sales Comparison Approach \$ 155,000 Cost Approach (if developed) \$159,769 Income Approach (if developed) \$ N/A Most emphasis placed on the Sales Comparison Approach to Value as adequate comparable sales were located. The Cost Approach, which is considered applicable, supports this conclusion. The Income Approach not utilized as insufficient data exists from which to form a meaningful conclusion. None. The subject meets VA minimum property standards in its "as is" condition. This appraisal is made X as is",						· · · · ·		· · ·
Remaining adjustments should be self explanatory. Sales received equal consideration in arriving at final value for the subject property. Indicated Value by Sales Comparison Approach \$ 155,000 Indicated Value by: Sales Comparison Approach \$ 155,000 Cost Approach (if developed) \$159,769 Income Approach (if developed) \$ 159,769 Most emphasis placed on the Sales Comparison Approach to Value as adequate comparable sales were located. The Cost Approach, which is considered applicable, supports this conclusion. The Income Approach not utilized as insufficient data exists from which to form a meaningful conclusion. None. The subject meets VA minimum property standards in its "as is" condition. This appraisal is made a "as is", a subject to completion per plans and specifications on the basis of a hypothetical condition that the improvements have been completed, a subject to the following repairs or alterations on the basis of a hypothetical condition that the improvements have been completed, a subject to the following repairs or alterations on the basis of a hypothetical condition that the repairs or alterations have been completed, or asubject to the following required inspection based on the extraordinary assumption that the condition or deficiency does not require alteration or repair: None. The subject meets VA minimum property standards in its "as is" condition. Based on a complete visual inspection of the interior and exterior areas of the subject property, defined scope of work, statement of assumptions and limiting								
Indicated Value by Sales Comparison Approach \$ 155,000 Indicated Value by: Sales Comparison Approach \$155,000 Cost Approach (if developed) \$159,769 Income Approach (if developed) \$ N/A Most emphasis placed on the Sales Comparison Approach to Value as adequate comparable sales were located. The Cost Approach, which is considered applicable, supports this conclusion. The Income Approach not utilized as insufficient data exists from which to form a meaningful conclusion. None. The subject meets VA minimum property standards in its "as is" condition. This appraisal is made X as is",								n Sale #3.
Indicated Value by: Sales Comparison Approach \$155,000 Cost Approach (if developed) \$159,769 Income Approach (if developed) \$_N/A Most emphasis placed on the Sales Comparison Approach to Value as adequate comparable sales were located. The Cost Approach, which is considered applicable, supports this conclusion. The Income Approach not utilized as insufficient data exists from which to form a meaningful conclusion. Income Approach (if developed) \$_N/A None. The subject meets VA minimum property standards in its "as is" condition. This appraisal is made X as is",	Remaining adjustments sh	ould be self explana	atory. Sales received	equal consideration	n arriving at fina	ii value for the subject pro	operty.	
Indicated Value by: Sales Comparison Approach \$155,000 Cost Approach (if developed) \$159,769 Income Approach (if developed) \$ _{N/A} Most emphasis placed on the Sales Comparison Approach to Value as adequate comparable sales were located. The Cost Approach, which is considered applicable, supports this conclusion. The Income Approach not utilized as insufficient data exists from which to form a meaningful conclusion. None. The subject meets VA minimum property standards in its "as is" condition. This appraisal is made X as is", subject to completion per plans and specifications on the basis of a hypothetical condition that the improvements have been completed, subject to the following repairs or alterations on the basis of a hypothetical condition that the repairs or alterations have been completed, or subject to the following required inspection based on the extraordinary assumption that the condition. None. The subject meets VA minimum property standards in its "as is" condition. None. The subject meets VA minimum property standards in its "as is" condition. None. The subject meets VA minimum property standards in its "as is" condition. Based on a complete visual inspection of the interior and exterior areas of the subject property, defined scope of work, statement of assumptions and limiting			h © 155.000					
 Most emphasis placed on the Sales Comparison Approach to Value as adequate comparable sales were located. The Cost Approach, which is considered applicable, supports this conclusion. The Income Approach not utilized as insufficient data exists from which to form a meaningful conclusion. None. The subject meets VA minimum property standards in its "as is" condition. This appraisal is made a "as is", a subject to completion per plans and specifications on the basis of a hypothetical condition that the improvements have been completed, subject to the following repairs or alterations on the basis of a hypothetical condition that the repairs or alterations have been completed, a subject to the following repairs or alterations on the basis of a hypothetical condition that the repairs or alterations have been completed, or subject to the following required inspection based on the extraordinary assumption that the condition or deficiency does not require alteration or repair: None. The subject meets VA minimum property standards in its "as is" condition. Based on a complete visual inspection of the interior and exterior areas of the subject property, defined scope of work, statement of assumptions and limiting 	Indicated Value by Only O	omporison Areas	UUU,CCI ¢ II	Coct Anna	if doualar - 1 *	150 760	nproach /if -l	nod) ¢
supports this conclusion. The Income Approach not utilized as insufficient data exists from which to form a meaningful conclusion. None. The subject meets VA minimum property standards in its "as is" condition. This appraisal is made X as is",			roach \$155 000	COST APPLOACH				
None. The subject meets VA minimum property standards in its "as is" condition. This appraisal is made X as is",	Indicated Value by: Sale	s Comparison App	,	as adoquata sam			alle which is consid	ELEO 4000C4016
 This appraisal is made X "as is",	Indicated Value by: Sale Most emphasis placed on	es Comparison App the Sales Compariso	on Approach to Value	I I				oroa appricatio,
completed, i subject to the following repairs or alterations on the basis of a hypothetical condition that the repairs or alterations have been completed, or i subject to the following required inspection based on the extraordinary assumption that the condition or deficiency does not require alteration or repair: None. The subject meets VA minimum property standards in its "as is" condition. Based on a complete visual inspection of the interior and exterior areas of the subject property, defined scope of work, statement of assumptions and limiting	Indicated Value by: Sale Most emphasis placed on supports this conclusion. T	es Comparison App the Sales Compariso The Income Approac	on Approach to Value h not utilized as insuf	ficient data exists from				
following required inspection based on the extraordinary assumption that the condition or deficiency does not require alteration or repair: None. The subject meets VA minimum property standards in its "as is" condition. Based on a complete visual inspection of the interior and exterior areas of the subject property, defined scope of work, statement of assumptions and limiting	Indicated Value by: Sale Most emphasis placed on supports this conclusion. T None. The subject meets	the Sales Comparison App the Sales Compariso The Income Approac VA minimum propert	on Approach to Value th not utilized as insuft ty standards in its "as	ficient data exists from is" condition.	n which to form	a meaningful conclusion.		
None. The subject meets VA minimum property standards in its "as is" condition. Based on a complete visual inspection of the interior and exterior areas of the subject property, defined scope of work, statement of assumptions and limiting	Indicated Value by: Sale Most emphasis placed on supports this conclusion. I None. The subject meets This appraisal is made 🔀	es Comparison App the Sales Compariso The Income Approac VA minimum propert "as is", ubject t	on Approach to Value th not utilized as insuf ty standards in its "as to completion per plan	ficient data exists from is" condition. s and specifications	m which to form on the basis of a	a meaningful conclusion. hypothetical condition th	at the improvements	s have been
Based on a complete visual inspection of the interior and exterior areas of the subject property, defined scope of work, statement of assumptions and limiting	Indicated Value by: Sale Most emphasis placed on supports this conclusion. T None. The subject meets This appraisal is made completed, subject to ti	es Comparison App the Sales Compariso The Income Approac VA minimum propert "as is", subject t he following repairs	on Approach to Value th not utilized as insuf ty standards in its "as to completion per plan or alterations on the b	ficient data exists from is" condition. s and specifications (asis of a hypothetica	m which to form on the basis of a I condition that t	a meaningful conclusion. hypothetical condition th he repairs or alterations h	at the improvements ave been completed	s have been
	Indicated Value by: Sale Most emphasis placed on supports this conclusion. T None. The subject meets This appraisal is made ⊠ completed, ☐ subject to th following required inspection	s Comparison App the Sales Comparison The Income Approace VA minimum propert "as is", subject the following repairs on based on the extra	on Approach to Value th not utilized as insuft ty standards in its "as o completion per plan or alterations on the b aordinary assumption	ficient data exists from is" condition. s and specifications of asis of a hypothetica that the condition or	m which to form on the basis of a I condition that t	a meaningful conclusion. hypothetical condition th he repairs or alterations h	at the improvements ave been completed	s have been
	Indicated Value by: Sale Most emphasis placed on supports this conclusion. T None. The subject meets This appraisal is made ⊠ completed, ☐ subject to ti following required inspectio None. The subject meets	s Comparison App the Sales Comparis The Income Approac VA minimum propert "as is", subject t he following repairs on based on the extrr VA minimum proper	on Approach to Value th not utilized as insuft ty standards in its "as o completion per plan or alterations on the b aordinary assumption ty standards in its "as	ficient data exists from is" condition. s and specifications asis of a hypothetica that the condition or is" condition.	m which to form on the basis of a I condition that t deficiency does	a meaningful conclusion. hypothetical condition th he repairs or alterations h not require alteration or r	at the improvements ave been completed epair:	t have been d, or
\$ 155,000 , as of 12/17/2010 , which is the date of inspection and the effective date of this appraisal.	Indicated Value by: Sale Most emphasis placed on supports this conclusion. T None. The subject meets This appraisal is made ⊠ completed,	s Comparison App the Sales Comparison The Income Approace VA minimum propert "as is", subject the following repairs on based on the extra VA minimum propertual inspection of the	on Approach to Value th not utilized as insuf- ty standards in its "as o completion per plan or alterations on the b aordinary assumption ty standards in its "as ne interior and exteri	ficient data exists from is" condition. s and specifications asis of a hypothetica that the condition or is" condition. or areas of the subj	n which to form on the basis of a l condition that t deficiency does ect property, de	a meaningful conclusion. hypothetical condition th he repairs or alterations h not require alteration or r efined scope of work, st	at the improvements ave been completed epair: atement of assump	i have been d, or ☐ subject to the ptions and limiting

IN:12/13/2010 INSPECTED:12/17/2010 OUT:12/21/2010				
				_
			0.5	
COST APPROACH TO VALUE	(not required by Fennie M	20)		
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and		ae)		
Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth	nods for estimating site value)			
comparable land/lot sales from the area and appraiser's knowledge of the local market Taking site size and location into consideration, appraiser concluded to \$10,000 as site			pically range from \$8,000 to	\$12,000.
ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW	OPINION OF SITE VALUE			
Source of cost data Marshall and Swift, local costs Quality rating from cost service Ave Effective date of cost data 12/2010	Dwelling 2,201 None	Sq. Ft. @ \$ 74 Sq. Ft. @ \$.67	=\$ 164,349 =\$
Source of cost data Marshall and Swift, local costs Quality rating from cost service Ave Effective date of cost data 12/2010 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Enclose the service of cost data 12/2010	Dwelling 2,201 None See detail at left.	Sq. Ft. @ \$ 74 Sq. Ft. @ \$.67 =	=\$ 164,349 =\$ =\$ 29,900
Source of cost data Marshall and Swift, local costs Quality rating from cost service Ave Effective date of cost data 12/2010	Dwelling 2,201 None See detail at left.	Sq. Ft. @ \$ 74 Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$21	.67	=\$ 164,349 =\$ 29,900 =\$ 12,643
Source of cost data Marshall and Swift, local costs Quality rating from cost service Ave Effective date of cost data 12/2010 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached sketch for square footage calculations. Cost Detail:	Dwelling 2,201 None See detail at left. Garage/Carport 576 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Physical	Sq. Ft. @ \$ 74 Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ 21 / Functional		=\$ 164,349 =\$ 29,900 =\$ 12,643 \$ 206,892
Source of cost data Marshall and Swift, local costs Quality rating from cost service Ave Effective date of cost data 12/2010 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached sketch for square footage calculations.	Dwelling 2,201 None See detail at left. Garage/Carport 576 Total Estimate of Cost-New	Sq. Ft. @ \$ 74 Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ 21 / Functional 7,400		=\$ 164,349 =\$
Source of cost data Marshall and Swift, local costs Quality rating from cost service Ave Effective date of cost data 12/2010 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached sketch for square footage calculations. Cost Detail: Appliances:\$2000, Cov. Porch:\$700, Open Porch:\$1000,	Dwelling 2,201 None See detail at left. Garage/Carport 576 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Depreciation 51,723 Depreciated Cost of Improv	Sq. Ft. @ \$ 74 Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ 21 / Functional 7,400 /		=\$ 164,349 =\$ 29,900 =\$ 12,643 :\$ 206,892 =\$(59,123 =\$ 147,769
Source of cost data Marshall and Swift, local costs Quality rating from cost service Ave Effective date of cost data 12/2010 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached sketch for square footage calculations. Cost Detail: Appliances:\$2000, Cov. Porch:\$700, Open Porch:\$1000, Cov. Patio:\$1000, Patio:\$1000, Cov. Patio:\$1500, Side Patio:\$700, Pool:\$20000,Fence:\$2000	Dwelling 2,201 None See detail at left. Garage/Carport 576 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Depreciation 51,723 Depreciated Cost of Improv "As-is" Value of Site Improv	Sq. Ft. @ \$ 74 Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ 21 / Functional 7,400 / rements	.67	=\$ 164,349 =\$ 29,900 =\$ 12,643 \$ 206,892 =\$(59,123 =\$ 147,769 =\$ 2,000
Source of cost data Marshall and Swift, local costs Quality rating from cost service Ave Effective date of cost data 12/2010 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached sketch for square footage calculations. Cost Detail: Appliances:\$2000, Cov. Porch:\$700, Open Porch:\$1000, Cov. Patio:\$1000, Patio:\$1000, Cov. Patio:\$1500, Side Patio:\$700, Pool:\$20000,Fence:\$2000	Dwelling 2,201 None See detail at left. Garage/Carport 576 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Depreciation 51,723 Depreciated Cost of Improv "As-is" Value of Site Improv Indicated Value By Cost Ap	Sq. Ft. @ \$ 74 Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ 21 / Functional 7,400 / rements	.67	=\$ 164,349 =\$ 29,900 =\$ 12,643 \$ 206,892 =\$(59,123 =\$ 147,769 =\$ 2,000
Source of cost data Marshall and Swift, local costs Quality rating from cost service Ave Effective date of cost data 12/2010 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached sketch for square footage calculations. Cost Detail: Appliances:\$2000, Cov. Porch:\$700, Open Porch:\$1000, Cov. Patio:\$1000, Patio:\$1000, Cov. Patio:\$1500, Side Patio:\$700, Pool:\$20000,Fence:\$2000 Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 45 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ N/A X Gross Rent Multiplier N/A =	Dwelling 2,201 None See detail at left. Garage/Carport 576 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Less Physical Depreciation 51,723 Depreciated Cost of Improv "As-is" Value of Site Improv Indicated Value By Cost Ap JE (not required by Fannie M \$N/A Indicated V	Sq. Ft. @ \$ 74 Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ 21 / Functional 7,400 / rements	.67	=\$ 164,345 =\$ 29,900 =\$ 12,643 \$ 206,892 =\$ 59,123 =\$ 147,765 =\$ 2,000 =\$ 159,765
Source of cost data Marshall and Swift, local costs Quality rating from cost service Ave Effective date of cost data 12/2010 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached sketch for square footage calculations. Cost Detail: Appliances:\$2000, Cov. Porch:\$700, Open Porch:\$1000, Cov. Patio:\$1000, Patio:\$1000, Cov. Patio:\$1500, Side Patio:\$700, Pool:\$20000,Fence:\$2000 Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 45 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALU	Dwelling 2,201 None See detail at left. Garage/Carport 576 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Less Physical Depreciation 51,723 Depreciated Cost of Improv "As-is" Value of Site Improv Indicated Value By Cost Ap JE (not required by Fannie M \$N/A Indicated V	Sq. Ft. @ \$ 74 Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ 21 / Functional 7,400 / rements	.67	=\$ 164,349 =\$ 29,900 =\$ 12,643 \$ 206,892 =\$ (59,123 =\$ 147,769 =\$ 2,000 =\$ 159,769
Source of cost data Marshall and Swift, local costs Quality rating from cost service Ave Effective date of cost data 12/2010 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached sketch for square footage calculations. Cost Detail: Appliances:\$2000, Cov. Porch:\$700, Open Porch:\$1000, Cov. Patio:\$1000, Patio:\$1000, Cov. Patio:\$1500, Side Patio:\$700, Pool:\$20000,Fence:\$2000 Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 45 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ N/A X Gross Rent Multiplier N/A = Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Income approach (including supp	Dwelling 2,201 None See detail at left. Garage/Carport 576 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Less Physical Depreciation 51,723 Depreciated Cost of Improv "As-is" Value of Site Improv Indicated Value By Cost Ap JE (not required by Fannie M \$N/A Indicated V	Sq. Ft. @ \$ 74 Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ 21 / Functional 7,400 / rements	.67	=\$ 164,345 =\$ 29,900 =\$ 12,643 \$ 206,892 =\$ 59,123 =\$ 147,765 =\$ 2,000 =\$ 159,765
Source of cost data Marshall and Swift, local costs Quality rating from cost service Ave Effective date of cost data 12/2010 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached sketch for square footage calculations. Cost Detail: Appliances:\$2000, Cov. Porch:\$700, Open Porch:\$1000, Cov. Patio:\$1000, Patio:\$1000, Cov. Patio:\$1500, Side Patio:\$700, Pool:\$20000,Fence:\$2000 Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 45 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ N/A X Gross Rent Multiplier N/A = Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Income ap ROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes	Dwelling 2,201 None See detail at left. Garage/Carport 576 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation 51,723 Depreciated Cost of Improv "As-is" Value of Site Improv Indicated Value By Cost Ap JE (not required by Fannie M \$N/A Indicated V Indicated Value By Cost Ap JE (not required by Fannie M \$N/A Indicated Value By Cost Ap IFOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s)	Sq. Ft. @ \$ 74 Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ 21 ' Functional 7,400 rements	.67	=\$ 164,345 =\$ 29,900 =\$ 12,643 \$ 206,892 =\$ 59,123 =\$ 147,765 =\$ 2,000 =\$ 159,765
Source of cost data Marshall and Swift, local costs Quality rating from cost service Ave Effective date of cost data 12/2010 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached sketch for square footage calculations. Cost Detail: Appliances:\$2000, Cov. Porch:\$700, Open Porch:\$1000, Cov. Patio:\$1000, Patio:\$1000, Cov. Patio:\$1500, Side Patio:\$700, Pool:\$20000,Fence:\$2000 Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 45 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ N/A X Gross Rent Multiplier N/A = Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Income ap PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of	Dwelling 2,201 None See detail at left. Garage/Carport 576 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation 51,723 Depreciated Cost of Improv "As-is" Value of Site Improv Indicated Value By Cost Ap JE (not required by Fannie M \$N/A Indicated V Indicated Value By Cost Ap JE (not required by Fannie M \$N/A Indicated Value By Cost Ap IFOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s)	Sq. Ft. @ \$ 74 Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ 21 ' Functional 7,400 rements	.67	=\$ 164,349 =\$ 29,900 =\$ 12,643 \$ 206,892 =\$ 206,892 =\$ 147,769 =\$ 147,769 =\$ 2,000
Source of cost data Marshall and Swift, local costs Quality rating from cost service Ave Effective date of cost data 12/2010 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached sketch for square footage calculations. Cost Detail: Appliances:\$2000, Cov. Porch:\$700, Open Porch:\$1000, Cov. Patio:\$1000, Patio:\$1000, Cov. Patio:\$1500, Side Patio:\$700, Pool:\$20000,Fence:\$2000 Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 45 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ N/A X Gross Rent Multiplier N/A = Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Income approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Income approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Income approved the following information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? See Provide the following information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of Legal name of project Total number of phases Total number of units	Dwelling 2,201 None See detail at left. Garage/Carport 576 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation 51,723 Depreciated Cost of Improv "As-is" Value of Site Improv Indicated Value By Cost Ap JE (not required by Fannie M \$N/A Indicated V Indicated Value By Cost Ap JE (not required by Fannie M \$N/A Indicated Value By Cost Ap IFOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s)	Sq. Ft. @ \$ 74 Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ 21 ' Functional 7,400 rements	.67	=\$ 164,349 =\$ 29,900 =\$ 12,643 \$ 206,892 =\$ (59,123 =\$ 147,769 =\$ 2,000 =\$ 159,769
Source of cost data Marshall and Swift, local costs Quality rating from cost service Ave Effective date of cost data 12/2010 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached sketch for square footage calculations. Cost Detail: Appliances:\$2000, Cov. Porch:\$700, Open Porch:\$1000, Cov. Patio:\$1000, Patio:\$1000, Cov. Porch:\$1500, Side Patio:\$700, Pool:\$20000,Fence:\$2000 Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 45 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ N/A X Gross Rent Multiplier N/A = Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Income approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Income approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Income approvide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of Legal name of project Total number of units Total number of units	Dwelling 2,201 None See detail at left. Garage/Carport 576 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation 51,723 Depreciated Cost of Improv "As-is" Value of Site Improv Indicated Value By Cost Ap JE (not required by Fannie M \$N/A Indicated V pproach was not developed a I FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Define HOA and the subject pr al number of units sold as ource(s)	Sq. Ft. @ \$ 74 Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ 21 / Functional 7,400 / rements	.67	=\$ 164,349 =\$ 29,900 =\$ 12,643 \$ 206,892 =\$ 206,892 =\$ 147,769 =\$ 147,769 =\$ 2,000
Source of cost data Marshall and Swift, local costs Quality rating from cost service Ave Effective date of cost data 12/2010 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached sketch for square footage calculations. Cost Detail: Appliances:\$2000, Cov. Porch:\$700, Open Porch:\$1000, Cov. Patio:\$1000, Patio:\$1000, Cov. Patio:\$1500, Side Patio:\$700, Pool:\$20000,Fence:\$2000 Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 45 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ N/A X Gross Rent Multiplier N/A = Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Income approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Income approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Income approved the following information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? See Provide the following information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of Legal name of project Total number of phases Total number of units	Dwelling 2,201 None See detail at left. Garage/Carport 576 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation 51,723 Depreciated Cost of Improv "As-is" Value of Site Improv Indicated Value By Cost Ap JE (not required by Fannie M \$N/A Indicated V pproach was not developed a I FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Define HOA and the subject pr al number of units sold as ource(s)	Sq. Ft. @ \$ 74 Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ 21 / Functional 7,400 / rements	.67	=\$ 164,345 =\$ 29,900 =\$ 12,643 \$ 206,892 =\$ 59,123 =\$ 147,765 =\$ 2,000 =\$ 159,765
Source of cost data Marshall and Swift, local costs Quality rating from cost service Ave Effective date of cost data 12/2010 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached sketch for square footage calculations. Cost Detail: Appliances:\$2000, Cov. Porch:\$700, Open Porch:\$1000, Cov. Patio:\$1000, Patio:\$1000, Cov. Porch:\$1000, Cov. Patio:\$1000, Fence:\$2000 Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 45 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ N/A X Gross Rent Multiplier N/A = Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Income ap PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? [Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of Legal name of project Total number of phases Total number of units Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of an existing building(s) into a PUD? []	Dwelling 2,201 None See detail at left. Garage/Carport 576 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation 51,723 Depreciated Cost of Improv "As-is" Value of Site Improv Indicated Value By Cost Ap JE (not required by Fannie M \$N/A Indicated V pproach was not developed a I FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Def al number of units sold as ource(s) Yes No	Sq. Ft. @ \$ 74 Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ 21 ' Functional 7,400 rements '	.67	=\$ 164,349 =\$ 29,900 =\$ 12,643 \$ 206,892 =\$ (59,123) =\$ 147,769 =\$ 2,000 =\$ 159,769
Source of cost data Marshall and Swift, local costs Quality rating from cost service Ave Effective date of cost data 12/2010 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached sketch for square footage calculations. Cost Detail: Appliances:\$2000, Cov. Porch:\$700, Open Porch:\$1000, Cov. Patio:\$1000, Patio:\$1000, Cov. Porch:\$1000, Cov. Patio:\$1000, Fence:\$2000 Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 45 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ N/A X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Income approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Income approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Income approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Income approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Income approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Income approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Income approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Income approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Income approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Income approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Income approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Income approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Income approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Income approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Income approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Income approach (includi	Dwelling 2,201 None See detail at left. Garage/Carport 576 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation 51,723 Depreciated Cost of Improv "As-is" Value of Site Improv Indicated Value By Cost Ap JE (not required by Fannie M \$N/A Indicated V pproach was not developed a I FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Def al number of units sold as ource(s) Yes No	Sq. Ft. @ \$ 74 Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ 21 ' Functional 7,400 rements '	.67	=\$ 164,349 =\$ 29,900 =\$ 12,643 \$ 206,892 =\$ (59,123) =\$ 147,769 =\$ 2,000 =\$ 159,769
Source of cost data Marshall and Swift, local costs Quality rating from cost service Ave Effective date of cost data 12/2010 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached sketch for square footage calculations. Cost Detail: Appliances:\$2000, Cov. Porch:\$700, Open Porch:\$1000, Cov. Patio:\$1000, Patio:\$1000, Cov. Porch:\$1000, Cov. Patio:\$1000, Fence:\$2000 Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 45 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ N/A X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Income approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Income approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Income approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Income approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Income approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Income approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Income approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Income approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Income approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Income approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Income approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Income approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Income approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Income approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Income approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Income approach (includi	Dwelling 2,201 None See detail at left. Garage/Carport 576 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation 51,723 Depreciated Cost of Improv "As-is" Value of Site Improv Indicated Value By Cost Ap JE (not required by Fannie M \$N/A Indicated V pproach was not developed a IFOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Def al number of units sold ta source(s) Yes No If No, describe the status of	Sq. Ft. @ \$ 74 Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ 21 / Functional 7,400 rements proach proach proach proach proach proach proach proach proach proach proach fooperty is an att conversion f completion.	.67	=\$ 164,349 =\$ 29,900 =\$ 12,643 \$ 206,892 =\$ (59,123) =\$ 147,769 =\$ 2,000 =\$ 159,769

Uniform Residential Appraisal Report

File # 50-50-6-0214TRA-LAPP

This report form is designed to report an appraisal of a one-unit property or a one-unit property with an accessory unit; including a unit in a planned unit development (PUD). This report form is not designed to report an appraisal of a manufactured home or a unit in a condominium or cooperative project.

This appraisal report is subject to the following scope of work, intended use, intended user, definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. Modifications, additions, or deletions to the intended use, intended user, definition of market value, or assumptions and limiting conditions are not permitted. The appraiser may expand the scope of work to include any additional research or analysis necessary based on the complexity of this appraisal assignment. Modifications or deletions to the certifications are also not permitted. However, additional certifications that do not constitute material alterations to this appraisal report, such as those required by law or those related to the appraiser's continuing education or membership in an appraisal organization, are permitted.

SCOPE OF WORK: The scope of work for this appraisal is defined by the complexity of this appraisal assignment and the reporting requirements of this appraisal report form, including the following definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. The appraiser must, at a minimum: (1) perform a complete visual inspection of the interior and exterior areas of the subject property, (2) inspect the neighborhood, (3) inspect each of the comparable sales from at least the street, (4) research, verify, and analyze data from reliable public and/or private sources, and (5) report his or her analysis, opinions, and conclusions in this appraisal report.

INTENDED USE: The intended use of this appraisal report is for the lender/client to evaluate the property that is the subject of this appraisal for a mortgage finance transaction.

INTENDED USER: The intended user of this appraisal report is the lender/client.

DEFINITION OF MARKET VALUE: The most probable price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller, each acting prudently, knowledgeably and assuming the price is not affected by undue stimulus. Implicit in this definition is the consummation of a sale as of a specified date and the passing of title from seller to buyer under conditions whereby: (1) buyer and seller are typically motivated; (2) both parties are well informed or well advised, and each acting in what he or she considers his or her own best interest; (3) a reasonable time is allowed for exposure in the open market; (4) payment is made in terms of cash in U. S. dollars or in terms of financial arrangements comparable thereto; and (5) the price represents the normal consideration for the property sold unaffected by special or creative financing or sales concessions* granted by anyone associated with the sale.

*Adjustments to the comparables must be made for special or creative financing or sales concessions. No adjustments are necessary for those costs which are normally paid by sellers as a result of tradition or law in a market area; these costs are readily identifiable since the seller pays these costs in virtually all sales transactions. Special or creative financing adjustments can be made to the comparable property by comparisons to financing terms offered by a third party institutional lender that is not already involved in the property or transaction. Any adjustment should not be calculated on a mechanical dollar for dollar cost of the financing or concession but the dollar amount of any adjustment should approximate the market's reaction to the financing or concessions based on the appraiser's judgment.

STATEMENT OF ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITING CONDITIONS: The appraiser's certification in this report is subject to the following assumptions and limiting conditions:

1. The appraiser will not be responsible for matters of a legal nature that affect either the property being appraised or the title to it, except for information that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. The appraiser assumes that the title is good and marketable and will not render any opinions about the title.

2. The appraiser has provided a sketch in this appraisal report to show the approximate dimensions of the improvements. The sketch is included only to assist the reader in visualizing the property and understanding the appraiser's determination of its size.

3. The appraiser has examined the available flood maps that are provided by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (or other data sources) and has noted in this appraisal report whether any portion of the subject site is located in an identified Special Flood Hazard Area. Because the appraiser is not a surveyor, he or she makes no guarantees, express or implied, regarding this determination.

4. The appraiser will not give testimony or appear in court because he or she made an appraisal of the property in question, unless specific arrangements to do so have been made beforehand, or as otherwise required by law.

5. The appraiser has noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. Unless otherwise stated in this appraisal report, the appraiser has no knowledge of any hidden or unapparent physical deficiencies or adverse conditions of the property (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) that would make the property less valuable, and has assumed that there are no such conditions and makes no guarantees or warranties, express or implied. The appraiser will not be responsible for any such conditions that do exist or for any engineering or testing that might be required to discover whether such conditions exist. Because the appraiser is not an expert in the field of environmental hazards, this appraisal report must not be considered as an environmental assessment of the property.

6. The appraiser has based his or her appraisal report and valuation conclusion for an appraisal that is subject to satisfactory completion, repairs, or alterations on the assumption that the completion, repairs, or alterations of the subject property will be performed in a professional manner.

Uniform Residential Appraisal Report Case No. File # 50-50-6-0214TRA-LAPP

APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

1. I have, at a minimum, developed and reported this appraisal in accordance with the scope of work requirements stated in this appraisal report.

2. I performed a complete visual inspection of the interior and exterior areas of the subject property. I reported the condition of the improvements in factual, specific terms. I identified and reported the physical deficiencies that could affect the livability, soundness, or structural integrity of the property.

3. I performed this appraisal in accordance with the requirements of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.

4. I developed my opinion of the market value of the real property that is the subject of this report based on the sales comparison approach to value. I have adequate comparable market data to develop a reliable sales comparison approach for this appraisal assignment. I further certify that I considered the cost and income approaches to value but did not develop them, unless otherwise indicated in this report.

5. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on any current agreement for sale for the subject property, any offering for sale of the subject property in the twelve months prior to the effective date of this appraisal, and the prior sales of the subject property for a minimum of three years prior to the effective date of this appraisal, unless otherwise indicated in this report.

6. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on the prior sales of the comparable sales for a minimum of one year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale, unless otherwise indicated in this report.

7. I selected and used comparable sales that are locationally, physically, and functionally the most similar to the subject property.

8. I have not used comparable sales that were the result of combining a land sale with the contract purchase price of a home that has been built or will be built on the land.

9. I have reported adjustments to the comparable sales that reflect the market's reaction to the differences between the subject property and the comparable sales.

10. I verified, from a disinterested source, all information in this report that was provided by parties who have a financial interest in the sale or financing of the subject property.

11. I have knowledge and experience in appraising this type of property in this market area.

12. I am aware of, and have access to, the necessary and appropriate public and private data sources, such as multiple listing services, tax assessment records, public land records and other such data sources for the area in which the property is located.

13. I obtained the information, estimates, and opinions furnished by other parties and expressed in this appraisal report from reliable sources that I believe to be true and correct.

14. I have taken into consideration the factors that have an impact on value with respect to the subject neighborhood, subject property, and the proximity of the subject property to adverse influences in the development of my opinion of market value. I have noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that I became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. I have considered these adverse conditions in my analysis of the property value, and have reported on the effect of the conditions on the value and marketability of the subject property.

15. I have not knowingly withheld any significant information from this appraisal report and, to the best of my knowledge, all statements and information in this appraisal report are true and correct.

16. I stated in this appraisal report my own personal, unbiased, and professional analysis, opinions, and conclusions, which are subject only to the assumptions and limiting conditions in this appraisal report.

17. I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report, and I have no present or prospective personal interest or bias with respect to the participants in the transaction. I did not base, either partially or completely, my analysis and/or opinion of market value in this appraisal report on the race, color, religion, sex, age, marital status, handicap, familial status, or national origin of either the prospective owners or occupants of the subject property or of the present owners or occupants of the properties in the vicinity of the subject property or on any other basis prohibited by law.

18. My employment and/or compensation for performing this appraisal or any future or anticipated appraisals was not conditioned on any agreement or understanding, written or otherwise, that I would report (or present analysis supporting) a predetermined specific value, a predetermined minimum value, a range or direction in value, a value that favors the cause of any party, or the attainment of a specific result or occurrence of a specific subsequent event (such as approval of a pending mortgage loan application).

19. I personally prepared all conclusions and opinions about the real estate that were set forth in this appraisal report. If I relied on significant real property appraisal assistance from any individual or individuals in the performance of this appraisal or the preparation of this appraisal report, I have named such individual(s) and disclosed the specific tasks performed in this appraisal report. I certify that any individual so named is qualified to perform the tasks. I have not authorized anyone to make a change to any item in this appraisal report; therefore, any change made to this appraisal is unauthorized and I will take no responsibility for it.

20. I identified the lender/client in this appraisal report who is the individual, organization, or agent for the organization that ordered and will receive this appraisal report.

Uniform Residential Appraisal Report Case No. File # 50-50-6-0214TRA-LAPP

21. The lender/client may disclose or distribute this appraisal report to: the borrower; another lender at the request of the borrower; the mortgagee or its successors and assigns; mortgage insurers; government sponsored enterprises; other secondary market participants; data collection or reporting services; professional appraisal organizations; any department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States; and any state, the District of Columbia, or other jurisdictions; without having to obtain the appraiser's or supervisory appraiser's (if applicable) consent. Such consent must be obtained before this appraisal report may be disclosed or distributed to any other party (including, but not limited to, the public through advertising, public relations, news, sales, or other media).

22. I am aware that any disclosure or distribution of this appraisal report by me or the lender/client may be subject to certain laws and regulations. Further, I am also subject to the provisions of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that pertain to disclosure or distribution by me.

23. The borrower, another lender at the request of the borrower, the mortgagee or its successors and assigns, mortgage insurers, government sponsored enterprises, and other secondary market participants may rely on this appraisal report as part of any mortgage finance transaction that involves any one or more of these parties.

24. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.

25. Any intentional or negligent misrepresentation(s) contained in this appraisal report may result in civil liability and/or criminal penalties including, but not limited to, fine or imprisonment or both under the provisions of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001, et seq., or similar state laws.

SUPERVISORY APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Supervisory Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

1. I directly supervised the appraiser for this appraisal assignment, have read the appraisal report, and agree with the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.

2. I accept full responsibility for the contents of this appraisal report including, but not limited to, the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.

3. The appraiser identified in this appraisal report is either a sub-contractor or an employee of the supervisory appraiser (or the appraisal firm), is qualified to perform this appraisal, and is acceptable to perform this appraisal under the applicable state law.

4. This appraisal report complies with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.

5. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.

APPRAISER

SUPERVISORY APPRAISER	

Signature Donald Mallard	Signature
Name Donald Mallard	Name
Company Name Appraisal Co.	Company Name
Company Address 000 Street, Anywhere, AR 72902-8127	Company Address
	Telephone Number
Email Address dmallard@training.sar	Email Address
Date of Signature and Report 12/21/2010	Date of Signature
Effective Date of Appraisal <u>12/17/2010</u>	State Certification #
State Certification # CR1TRA	or State License #
or State License #	State
or Other (describe) State #	Expiration Date of Certification or License
State AR	
Expiration Date of Certification or License 6/30/2011	SUBJECT PROPERTY
ADDRESS OF PROPERTY APPRAISED	Did not inspect subject property
285 North Petit Jean Road	Did inspect exterior of subject property from street
Magazine, AR 72943	Date of Inspection
APPRAISED VALUE OF SUBJECT PROPERTY \$ 155,000	Did inspect interior and exterior of subject property
LENDER/CLIENT	Date of Inspection
Name	
Company Name Mortgage Co,/Department of Veteran Affairs	COMPARABLE SALES
Company Address_0000 Road Anywhere, AR 72902	Did not inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
	Did inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
Email Address	Date of Inspection

Exhibit 1: Requirements - Condition and Quality Ratings Usage

Appraisers must utilize the following standardized condition and quality ratings within the appraisal report.

Condition Ratings and Definitions

C1

The improvements have been very recently constructed and have not previously been occupied. The entire structure and all components are new and the dwelling features no physical depreciation.*

*Note: Newly constructed improvements that feature recycled materials and/or components can be considered new dwellings provided that the dwelling is placed on a 100% new foundation and the recycled materials and the recycled components have been rehabilitated/re-manufactured into like-new condition. Recently constructed improvements that have not been previously occupied are not considered "new" if they have any significant physical depreciation (i.e., newly constructed dwellings that have been vacant for an extended period of time without adequate maintenance or upkeep).

C2

The improvements feature no deferred maintenance, little or no physical depreciation, and require no repairs. Virtually all building components are new or have been recently repaired, refinished, or rehabilitated. All outdated components and finishes have been updated and/or replaced with components that meet current standards. Dwellings in this category either are almost new or have been recently completely renovated and are similar in condition to new construction.

C3

The improvements are well maintained and feature limited physical depreciation due to normal wear and tear. Some components, but not every major building component, may be updated or recently rehabilitated. The structure has been well maintained.

C4

The improvements feature some minor deferred maintenance and physical deterioration due to normal wear and tear. The dwelling has been adequately maintained and requires only minimal repairs to building components/mechanical systems and cosmetic repairs. All major building components have been adequately maintained and are functionally adequate.

C5

The improvements feature obvious deferred maintenance and are in need of some significant repairs. Some building components need repairs, rehabilitation, or updating. The functional utility and overall livability is somewhat diminished due to condition, but the dwelling remains useable and functional as a residence.

C6

The improvements have substantial damage or deferred maintenance with deficiencies or defects that are severe enough to affect the safety, soundness, or structural integrity of the improvements. The improvements are in need of substantial repairs and rehabilitation, including many or most major components.

Quality Ratings and Definitions

Q1

Dwellings with this quality rating are usually unique structures that are individually designed by an architect for a specified user. Such residences typically are constructed from detailed architectural plans and specifications and feature an exceptionally high level of workmanship and exceptionally high-grade materials throughout the interior and exterior of the structure. The design features exceptionally high-quality exterior refinements and ornamentation, and exceptionally high-quality interior refinements. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are of exceptionally high quality.

Q2

Dwellings with this quality rating are often custom designed for construction on an individual property owner's site. However, dwellings in this quality grade are also found in high-quality tract developments featuring residences constructed from individual plans or from highly modified or upgraded plans. The design features detailed, highquality exterior ornamentation, high-quality interior refinements, and detail. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are generally of high or very high quality.

Q3

Dwellings with this quality rating are residences of higher quality built from individual or readily available designer plans in above-standard residential tract developments or on an individual property owner's site. The design includes significant exterior ornamentation and interiors that are well finished. The workmanship exceeds acceptable standards and many materials and finishes throughout the dwelling have been upgraded from "stock" standards.

Q4

Dwellings with this quality rating meet or exceed the requirements of applicable building codes. Standard or modified standard building plans are utilized and the design includes adequate fenestration and some exterior ornamentation and interior refinements. Materials, workmanship, finish, and equipment are of stock or builder grade and may feature some upgrades.

Q5

Dwellings with this quality rating feature economy of construction and basic functionality as main considerations. Such dwellings feature a plain design using readily available or basic floor plans featuring minimal fenestration and basic finishes with minimal exterior ornamentation and limited interior detail. These dwellings meet minimum building codes and are constructed with inexpensive, stock materials with limited refinements and upgrades.

Q6

Dwellings with this quality rating are of basic quality and lower cost; some may not be suitable for year-round occupancy. Such dwellings are often built with simple plans or without plans, often utilizing the lowest quality building materials. Such dwellings are often built or expanded by persons who are professionally unskilled or possess only minimal construction skills. Electrical, plumbing, and other mechanical systems and equipment may be minimal or non-existent. Older dwellings may feature one or more substandard or non-conforming additions to the original structure.

Exhibit 2: Requirements – Definitions of Not Updated, Updated, and Remodeled

Not Updated

Little or no updating or modernization. This description includes, but is not limited to, new homes.

Residential properties of fifteen years of age or less often reflect an original condition with no updating, if no major components have been replaced or updated. Those over fifteen years of age are also considered not updated if the appliances, fixtures, and finishes are predominantly dated. An area that is 'Not Updated' may still be well maintained and fully functional, and this rating does not necessarily imply deferred maintenance or physical/functional deterioration.

Updated

The area of the home has been modified to meet current market expectations. These modifications are limited in terms of both scope and cost.

An updated area of the home should have an improved look and feel, or functional utility. Changes that constitute updates include refurbishment and/or replacing components to meet existing market expectations. Updates do not include significant alterations to the existing structure.

Remodeled

Significant finish and/or structural changes have been made that increase utility and appeal through complete replacement and/or expansion.

A remodeled area reflects fundamental changes that include multiple alterations. These alterations may include some or all of the following: replacement of a major component (cabinet(s), bathtub, or bathroom tile), relocation of plumbing/gas fixtures/appliances, significant structural alterations (relocating walls, and/or the addition of square footage). This would include a complete gutting and rebuild.

Exhibit 3: Requirements – Abbreviations Used in Data Standardization Text

Abbreviation	Full Name	Appropriate Fields
ac	Acres	Area, Site
AdjPrk	Adjacent	Location
AdjPwr	Adjacent to Power Lines	Location
А	Adverse	Location & View
ArmLth	Arms Length Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
ba	Bathroom(s)	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
br	Bedroom	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
В	Beneficial	Location & View
Cash	Cash	Sale or Financing Concessions
CtySky	City View Skyline View	View
CtyStr	City Street View	View
Comm	Commercial Influence	Location
c	Contracted Date	Date of Sale/Time
Conv	Conventional	Sale or Financing Concessions
CrtOrd	Court Ordered Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
DOM	Days On Market	Data Sources
e	Expiration Date	Date of Sale/Time
Estate	Estate Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
FHA	Federal Housing Authority	Sale or Financing Concessions
GlfCse	Golf Course	Location
Glfvw	Golf Course View	View
Ind	Industrial	Location & View
in	Interior Only Stairs	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
Lndfl	Landfill	Location
LtdSght	Limited Sight	View
Listing	Listing	Sale or Financing Concessions
Mtn	Mountain View	View
Ν	Neutral	Location & View
NonArm	Non-Arms Length Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
BsyRd	Busy Road	Location
0	Other	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
Prk	Park View	View
Pstrl	Pastoral View	View
PwrLn	Power Lines	View
PubTrn	Public Transportation	Location
rr	Recreational (Rec) Room	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
Relo	Relocation Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
REO	REO Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
Res	Residential	Location & View
RH	USDA –Rural Housing	Sale or Financing Concessions
s	Settlement Date	Date of Sale/Time
Short	Short Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
sf	Square Feet	Area, Site, Basement
Unk	Unknown	Date of Sale/Time
VA	Veterans Administration	Sale or Financing Concessions
W	Withdrawn Date	Date of Sale/Time
WO	Walk Out Basement	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
wu	Walk Up Basement	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
WtrFr	Water Frontage	Location
Wtr	Water View	View
Woods	Wood View	View

Sup	plemen	ital Ad	ldendum

File No. 505060214TRA-LAPP


Borrower/Cli	lent BOD HOPE					
Property Add	dress 285 North Petit Jean	Road				
City	Magazine	County	Logan	State A	.R Zip Coo	de 72943
Lender	Mortgage Co./Departme	nt of Veteran Affairs				

URAR : Neighborhood - Description

Magazine and Booneville are smaller towns/cities located along Hwy. 10 in southern Logan County. Each has a school system but Magazine has limited employment and shopping areas. Booneville has additional employment and shopping with the larger cities of Greenwood and FortSmith (located 15 and 25 miles respectfully to the northwest) providing even more amenity support for the area. Booneville is the County Seat for the southern district of Logan County. Paris (15-20 miles northerly) is the County Seat for the northern district of the county. Paris would also provide amenity support for the Magazine/Booneville areas.

The immediate neighborhood is a mostly residential area of Magazine. Property types and styles vary in the area and range from modest manufactured dwellings to some larger custom built dwellings sited on larger acreage tracts. The subject is typical of the defined neighborhood.

			File 50	05060214TRA Page 13 of 24
		•		nditions prevalent in the subject
Property Address 285 North Petit Jean Road				State AR ZIP Code 72943
housing trends and overall market conditions as repor it is available and reliable and must provide analysis a explanation. It is recognized that not all data sources v in the analysis. If data sources provide the required in average. Sales and listings must be properties that co subject property. The appraiser must explain any anor	rted in the Neighborhood as indicated below. If any will be able to provide dai iformation as an average ompete with the subject p malies in the data, such a	section of the appraisal required data is unavail ta for the shaded areas instead of the median, ti roperty, determined by a is seasonal markets, new	report form. The appraiser lable or is considered unrel below; if it is available, how he appraiser should report applying the criteria that wo	must fill in all the information to the extent iable, the appraiser must provide an vever, the appraiser must include the data the available figure and identify it as an uld be used by a prospective buyer of the s, etc.
Inventory Analysis	Prior 7–12 Months	Prior 4–6 Months	Current – 3 Months	Overall Trend
Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)	0.33	10.33	0.33	Increasing X Stable Declining
Total # of Comparable Active Listings			7	Declining Stable Increasing
Months of Housing Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)	Prior 7 10 Months	Drior A & Months		Declining Stable Increasing
Median Sale & List Price, DOM, Sale/List % Median Comparable Sale Price	150,000	199,000	156,500	Uverail Trend
Median Comparable Sales Days on Market	86	157	41	Declining Stable Increasing
Median Comparable List Price			159,000	Increasing Stable Declining
Median Comparable Listings Days on Market				
	ce prevalent? Yes	No	30.43%	Declining Stable Increasing
Explain in detail the seller concessions trends for the p	past 12 months (e.g., sell	er contributions increase		ing use of buydowns, closing costs, condo
as an enticement to facilitate a sale. Concessions	s are usually in the form	1 of assistance with clo	osing costs rather than fo	r personal property or other concessions.
Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the mark				and sales of foreclosed properties).
i oreclosure sales are present in the market but do	Thou appear to be a ma	ijor innuence in the ma	ainel.	
				~
		_		
Cito data pourson for about information	woot Arkanaac MLC	atom Logon Count: A	concorto filos and arrest	nisor's knowlodge of least merket activity
appears to be at a 21.2 month supply. Overall , th neighborhood. Based on available data, homes ap of list price or above list price will generally involve	ne local market does no ppear to be selling at 9 e the seller paying a po	t appear to be declinin 8.43% of list price. Ho rtion or all of the buyer	ig. A typical number of homes generally sell at 92- r's closing costs. The mo	omes were listed for sale in the subject 95% of list price. Homes selling at 100%
Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)	Prior 7–12 Months	Prior 4–6 Months	Current – 3 Months	
Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)				Increasing Stable Declining
Total # of Active Comparable Listings				Declining Stable Increasing
Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)	et? Vec No	If yes indicate the	number of PEO lictings and	
foreclosed properties.		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
Summarize the above trends and address the impact of	on the subject unit and pr	roject.		
	Provide the inder(client with a clear and accurate understanding of the market trends and conditiones are provident in the subject and th			
·				
Signature Days of Walland		Ciapatura		
Appraiser Name Donald Mallard		Signature		
Company Name Appraisal Co.		Supervisorv	Appraiser Name	I
Company Address 000 Street Anywhere AB 7200		Supervisory Company Na	ame	
Company Address 000 Street, Anywhere, AR 7290		Company Na Company Ad	ddress	01.1.
State License/Certification # CR1TRA Email Address dmallard@training.sar	02-8127 State AR	Company Na Company Ad	ddress e/Certification #	State



Borrower	Bob Hope				
Property Address	285 North Petit Jean Roa	d			
City	Magazine	County Logan	State AR	Zip Code 72943	
Lender/Client	Mortgage Co./Departmen	t of Veteran Affairs			



Rear View





Side View

Storm Shelter



Pool

Attic

Borrower	Bob Hope				
Property Address	285 North Petit Jean Road				
City	Magazine	_{County} Logan	State AR	Zip Code 72943	
Lender/Client	Mortgage Co./Department of	f Veteran Affairs			



Typical Interior





Borrower	Bob Hope				
Property Address	285 North Petit Jean Road				
City	Magazine	County Logan	State AR	Zip Code 7294 3	
Lender/Client	Mortgage Co./Department	of Veteran Affairs			



Comments:

Typical Interior

Typical Interior

Comments:



Typical Interior

Typical Interior

Comments:

Comments:

Borrower	Bob Hope				
Property Address	285 North Petit Jear	n Road			
City	Magazine	County Logan	State AR	Zip Code 7294 3	
Lender/Client	Mortgage Co./Depart	ment of Veteran Affairs			





Comments:

Comments:





Comments:

Comments:

Zip Code 72943

Borrower	Bob Hope		
Property Address	285 North Petit Jean Road		
City	Magazine Mortgage Co./Department of V	County Logan	State AR
Lender/Client	Mortgage Co./Department of	/eteran Affairs	
and the		the second s	
A ROAC			
1			
ki Se			
4			
			20
1.1.1		th.	
A130.4		in the second second	- 34
	THE REPORT OF LOCAL DISC.		
			Sales and Sales
A REAL PROPERTY.	A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACT OF	A DESCRIPTION OF THE OWNER OWNER OF THE OWNER OWNE	
and the second second	State of the second	ALL STATE	Contraction
	The state	er and the second s	and the second second
	a Providence of States	a still the state of the state of the	「「「「「「」」
			and the second
	Contraction of the second second	and the state of the state of the second	AND THE REAL PROPERTY AND
			A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL
And the second second second	the second se		
		C SHANNE	- V-100
		N. M.	
4		AN CAR	XXX
A STATE OF		ANK ANK	A CONTRACTOR
	1	All Andrews	ALC: NO

Comparable Photos 1-3

Comp	parable 1
180 Oal	kridge Drive
Prox. to Subj.	3.73 miles W
Sales Price	155,000
G.L.A.	1,910
Tot. Rooms	6
Tot. Bedrms.	3
Tot. Bathrms.	2.5
Location	Ave Suburban
View	Typ Suburban
Site	3.98 Acres
Quality	Ave Rk/V.Sdg
Age	32





Comparable 2 610 Ridgeview Drive


1610 Rid	geview Drive
Prox. to Subj.	5.63 miles W
Sales Price	143,000
G.L.A.	1,950
Tot. Rooms	6
Tot. Bedrms.	3
Tot. Bathrms.	2
Location	Ave Suburban
View	Typ Suburban
Site	.68 Acre
Quality	Ave BV/Frm
Age	22

Comparable 3

62 Nort	hridge Drive
Prox. to Subj. Sales Price	6.91 miles W 156.500
G.L.A.	2,200
Tot. Rooms	6
Tot. Bedrms.	3
Tot. Bathrms.	2.0
Location	Ave Suburban
View	Typ Suburban
Site	1 Acre (est)
Quality	Av-Gd BV/V.S
Age	7

Building	Sketch
----------	--------

Borrower	Bob Hope			
Property Address	285 North Petit Jean Road			
City	Magazine	County Logan	State AR	Zip Code 72943
Lender/Client M	ortgage Co./Department of V	eteran Affairs		





Location Map

Page 22 of 24 File 50-50-6-0214TRA Main File No 50-50-6-0214TRA

		FIRREA / USPAP ADD		l₀.50-50-6-0214TRA
	Property Address 285 North Petit Jean			
	City Magazine Lender/Client Mortgage Co./Departu Purpose	County Logan ment	State AR	Zip Code 72943
	The appraiser has indicated on p simple" ownership includes all co	age 1 that the property interest apprais omponents of ownership including subs ubject property and makes no warrantic	surface rights. This appraisa	I will not estimate a
	Scope of Work The appraiser made an inspection	n of the subject property, engaged in r ner data sources. The appraiser perso	esearch including a review	of public records, his
	report. The appraiser was not as	sked to omit any approaches to value. narket value of the subject property.		
	Intended Use / Intended User Intended Use: To assist the client w	ith a lending decision.		
	Intended User(s): Department of Vete	eran Affairs/Any Qualified Lender		6
	History of Property			
	Current listing information:			
			C	
	Prior sale: No sales past 36 months	5.		
	For some The state the These			
	Exposure Time / Marketing Time 3-6 months / 3-6 months			
	Personal (non-realty) Transfers			
	None.			
	Additional Comments			
	I have considered relevant comp	etitive listings and/or contract offerings		
		ed by the listing/offering information inc hip appearing in balance. Typical mar		
	with the final selling prices within	3-7% of the initial listing price. Sales a	and financing concessions o	lo not appear preval
	but are not uncommon for sellers	to pay all or part of buyers closing exp	<u>penses on high ratio loan to</u>	value facilitated sale
		fit of the owner, seller, buyer or any pa		
		this appraiser or Burris Appraisal Com ser. Any party not a part of the contract		
		set. Any party not a part of the contract hould not rely on this report for any bu	0	
	Certification Supplement			
	 This appraisal assignment was not based or 	n a requested minimum valuation a specific valuation o		
		the reporting of a predetermined value or direction	in value that favors the cause of th	e client, the amount of the
		the reporting of a predetermined value or direction	in value that favors the cause of th	e client, the amount of the
	2. My compensation is not contingent upor	the reporting of a predetermined value or direction	in value that favors the cause of th	e client, the amount of the
0	2. My compensation is not contingent upor	the reporting of a predetermined value or direction	in value that favors the cause of th	e client, the amount of the
0	2. My compensation is not contingent upon estimate, the attainment of a stipulated resu	n the reporting of a predetermined value or direction It or the occurrence of a subsequent event.	у	e client, the amount of the
0	My compensation is not contingent upor estimate, the attainment of a stipulated resu Appraiser: <u>Roxanne Meeker</u> Signed Date: <u>12/21/2010</u>	n the reporting of a predetermined value or direction It or the occurrence of a subsequent event.	у	e client, the amount of the
0	2. My compensation is not contingent upor estimate, the attainment of a stipulated resu Appraiser: <u>Roxanne Mecker</u>	the reporting of a predetermined value or direction tor the occurrence of a subsequent event. Supervisor Appraiser: Signed Dat Certificatio	y e:	e client, the amount of the

Borrower Bob Hope Property Address 285 North Petit Jean Road	
City Magazine	County Logan State AR Zip Code 72943
ender/Client Mortgage Co./Department	t of Veteran Affai
APPRAISAL AND REPORT ID	DENTIFICATION
This Appraisal Report is <u>one</u> of the following	types:
	pared under Standards Rule 2-2(a), persuant to the Scope of Work, as disclosed elsewhere in this report.)
	pared under Standards Rule 2-2(g), persuant to the Scope of Work, as disclosed elsewhere in this report.)
Restricted Use (A written report prepresentation of the state) (A written report prepresentation of the state)	pared under Standards Rule 2-2(c), persuant to the Scope of Work, as disclosed elsewhere in this report, ated intended use by the specified client or intended user.)
	······································
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Comments on Standards Ru	ıle 2-3
I certify that, to the best of my knowledge and belief:	
— The statements of fact contained in this report are tr	rue and correct.
	are limited only by the reported assumptions and limiting conditions and are my personal, impartial, and unbiased profession
analyses, opinions, and conclusions.	
 I have no (or the specified) present or prospective in involved. 	nterest in the property that is the subject of this report and no (or the specified) personal interest with respect to the parties
	ne subject of this report or the parties involved with this assignment.
	ent upon developing or reporting predetermined results.
	s not contingent upon the development or reporting of a predetermined value or direction in value that favors the cause of the
	of a stipulated result, or the occurrence of a subsequent event directly related to the intended use of this appraisal.
	loped, and this report has been prepared, in conformity with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that v
in effect at the time this report was prepared.	l inspection of the property that is the subject of this report.
· · ·	rinspection of the property that is the subject of this report. cant real property appraisal assistance to the person(s) signing this certification (if there are exceptions, the name of each
individual providing significant real property appraisal a	
	rvices regarding the subject property within the prior three years, as an appraiser or in any other capacity.
1	
Commente en Annyeisel en	d Donort Idontification
Comments on Appraisal and	
	d Report Identification ng disclosure and any State mandated requirements:
Note any USPAP related issues requirin I have appraised this property within th	
Note any USPAP related issues requirin	ng disclosure and any State mandated requirements:
Note any USPAP related issues requirin I have appraised this property within th	ng disclosure and any State mandated requirements:
Note any USPAP related issues requirin I have appraised this property within th	ng disclosure and any State mandated requirements:
Note any USPAP related issues requirin I have appraised this property within th	ng disclosure and any State mandated requirements:
Note any USPAP related issues requirin I have appraised this property within th	ng disclosure and any State mandated requirements:
Note any USPAP related issues requirin I have appraised this property within th	ng disclosure and any State mandated requirements:
Note any USPAP related issues requirin I have appraised this property within th	ng disclosure and any State mandated requirements:
Note any USPAP related issues requirin I have appraised this property within th	ng disclosure and any State mandated requirements:
Note any USPAP related issues requirin I have appraised this property within th	ng disclosure and any State mandated requirements:
Note any USPAP related issues requirin I have appraised this property within th	ng disclosure and any State mandated requirements:
Note any USPAP related issues requirin I have appraised this property within th	ng disclosure and any State mandated requirements:
Note any USPAP related issues requirin I have appraised this property within th	ng disclosure and any State mandated requirements:
Note any USPAP related issues requirin I have appraised this property within th	ng disclosure and any State mandated requirements:
Note any USPAP related issues requirin I have appraised this property within th	ng disclosure and any State mandated requirements:
Note any USPAP related issues requirin I have appraised this property within th	ng disclosure and any State mandated requirements:
Note any USPAP related issues requirin I have appraised this property within th	ng disclosure and any State mandated requirements:
Note any USPAP related issues requirin	ng disclosure and any State mandated requirements: ne past 36 months of accepting this appraisal assignment. It was appraised by me or
APPRAISER:	ng disclosure and any State mandated requirements: ne past 36 months of accepting this appraisal assignment. It was appraised by me or
Note any USPAP related issues requirin Thave appraised this property within th 07/14/2008.	ng disclosure and any State mandated requirements: ne past 36 months of accepting this appraisal assignment. It was appraised by me or
APPRAISER:	ng disclosure and any State mandated requirements: The past 36 months of accepting this appraisal assignment. It was appraised by me or The past 36 months of accepting this appraisal assignment. It was appraised by me or The past 36 months of accepting this appraisal assignment. It was appraised by me or The past 36 months of accepting this appraisal assignment. It was appraised by me or The past 36 months of accepting this appraisal assignment. It was appraised by me or The past 36 months of accepting this appraisal assignment. It was appraised by me or The past 36 months of accepting this appraisal assignment. It was appraised by me or The past 36 months of accepting this appraisal assignment. It was appraised by me or The past 36 months of accepting this appraisal assignment. It was appraised by me or The past 36 months of accepting this appraisal assignment. It was appraised by me or The past 36 months of accepting this appraised assignment. It was appraised by me or The past 36 months of accepting this appraised assignment. It was appraised by me or The past 36 months of accepting this appraised assignment. It was appraised by me or The past 36 months of accepting this appraised assignment. It was appraised by me or The past 36 months of accepting this appraised assignment. It was appraised by me or The past 36 months of accepting this appraised assignment. It was appraised by me or The past 36 months of accepting the past 3
Note any USPAP related issues requirin Inave appraised this property within the 07/14/2008. 07/14/2008. 0	ng disclosure and any State mandated requirements: The past 36 months of accepting this appraisal assignment. It was appraised by me or The past 36 months of accepting this appraisal assignment. It was appraised by me or The past 36 months of accepting this appraisal assignment. It was appraised by me or The past 36 months of accepting this appraisal assignment. It was appraised by me or The past 36 months of accepting this appraisal assignment. It was appraised by me or The past 36 months of accepting this appraisal assignment. It was appraised by me or The past 36 months of accepting this appraisal assignment. It was appraised by me or The past 36 months of accepting this appraisal assignment. It was appraised by me or The past 36 months of accepting this appraisal assignment. It was appraised by me or SUPERVISORY APPRAISER (only if required): Signature: Name: Designation:
Note any USPAP related issues requirin Thave appraised this property within th 07/14/2008. Appraise Signature: Roxanne Meeker Name: Roxanne Meeker Date Signed: 12/21/2010	ng disclosure and any State mandated requirements: Ine past 36 months of accepting this appraisal assignment. It was appraised by me or Interpretendent of the state of the
Note any USPAP related issues requirin Thave appraised this property within th 07/14/2008. Appraise Appraise Signature: Roxanne Meeker Name: Roxanne Meeker Date Signed: 12/21/2010 State Certification #: CR1TRA	ng disclosure and any State mandated requirements: The past 36 months of accepting this appraisal assignment. It was appraised by me or SUPERVISORY APPRAISER (only if required): SUPERVISORY APPRAISER (only if required): Signature: Name: Designation: Designatio
Note any USPAP related issues requirin Thave appraised this property within th 07/14/2008. Appraise Signature: Roxanne Meeker Name: Roxanne Meeker Date Signet: 12/21/2010 State Certification #: CR1TRA or State License #:	ng disclosure and any State mandated requirements: The past 36 months of accepting this appraisal assignment. It was appraised by me or SUPERVISORY APPRAISER (only if required): SUPERVISORY APPRAISER (only if required): Signature: Name: Designation: Designatio
Note any USPAP related issues requirin Thave appraised this property within th 07/14/2008. APPRAISER: Signature: Roxanne Meeker Name: Roxanne Meeker Date Signed: 12/21/2010 State Certification #: CR1TRA or State License #: State: AR	ng disclosure and any State mandated requirements: The past 36 months of accepting this appraisal assignment. It was appraised by me or SUPERVISORY APPRAISER (only if required): Signature: Signature: Designation: Date Signed: State Certification #: Or State License #: State: State: St
Note any USPAP related issues requirin Thave appraised this property within th 07/14/2008. Appraise Signature: Roxanne Meeker Name: Roxanne Meeker Date Signet: 12/21/2010 State Certification #: CR1TRA or State License #:	ng disclosure and any State mandated requirements: The past 36 months of accepting this appraisal assignment. It was appraised by me or SUPERVISORY APPRAISER (only if required): Signature: Signature: Designation: Designation: Designation: Date Signet: State: Stat
Note any USPAP related issues requirin Thave appraised this property within th 07/14/2008. APPRAISER: Signature: Roxanne Meeker Name: Roxanne Meeker Date Signed: 12/21/2010 State Certification #: CR1TRA or State License #: State: AR	ng disclosure and any State mandated requirements: The past 36 months of accepting this appraisal assignment. It was appraised by me or SUPERVISORY APPRAISER (only if required): Signature: Signature: Designation: Date Signed: State Certification #: Or State License #: State: State: St

FROM:				INV	OIC	E
Appraisal Co.					ENUMBER	-
000 Street					-0214TR	A
Anywhere, AF	R 72902				ATE	
					1/2010	
Telephone Number		Fax Number:		12/2	. 1/2010	
	•			DEE	ERENCE	
то:					50-6-021	4TRA
				Lender Case #:	0000021	
Mortgage				Client File #:		
0000 Road	22002					
Anywhere, AF	K 72902			Main File # on form:	50 6 021	
				Other File # on form: 50-		
Telephone Number	:	Fax Number:			1735TRA	A Contraction of the second se
Alternate Number:		E-Mail:		Employer ID:		
Purchaser/Borrow Property Addre C	ss: 285 North Pet ty: Magazine	tit Jean Road		tment of Veterans Affa Zip: 7		
Lenc Purchaser/Borrow Property Addre C Cour	er: Bob Hope ss: 285 North Pet ity: Magazine ity: Logan	tit Jean Road 1/4, 30-6-26,Logan	Client: Depar State: AR	tment of Veterans Affa Zip: 7		
Lenc Purchaser/Borrow Property Addre C Cour	er: Bob Hope ss: 285 North Pet ity: Magazine ity: Logan					
Lenc Purchaser/Borrow Property Addre C Cour	er: Bob Hope ss: 285 North Pet ity: Magazine ity: Logan					AMOUNT
Lenc Purchaser/Borrow Property Addre C Cour Legal Descripti	er: Bob Hope ss: 285 North Pet ity: Magazine ty: Logan on: SW 1/4, SW					AMOUNT 400.0
Lenc Purchaser/Borrow Property Addre C Cour Legal Description	er: Bob Hope ss: 285 North Pet ity: Magazine ty: Logan on: SW 1/4, SW					
Lenc Purchaser/Borrow Property Addre C Cour Legal Description	er: Bob Hope ss: 285 North Pet ity: Magazine ty: Logan on: SW 1/4, SW					
Lenc Purchaser/Borrow Property Addre C Cour Legal Description	er: Bob Hope ss: 285 North Pet ity: Magazine ty: Logan on: SW 1/4, SW					
Lenc Purchaser/Borrow Property Addre C Cour Legal Description	er: Bob Hope ss: 285 North Pet ity: Magazine ty: Logan on: SW 1/4, SW					
Lenc Purchaser/Borrow Property Addre C Cour Legal Description	er: Bob Hope ss: 285 North Pet ity: Magazine ty: Logan on: SW 1/4, SW				2943	
Lenc Purchaser/Borrow Property Addre C Cour Legal Descripti	er: Bob Hope ss: 285 North Pet ity: Magazine ty: Logan on: SW 1/4, SW			Zip: 7	2943	400.0
Lenc Purchaser/Borrow Property Addre C Cour Legal Descripti FEES /A Appraisal Fo	er: Bob Hope ss: 285 North Pet ity: Magazine ty: Logan on: SW 1/4, SW			Zip: 7	2943	400.0
Lenc Purchaser/Borrow Property Addre C Cour Legal Descripti FEES /A Appraisal Fo PAYMENTS Check #: Check #:	er: Bob Hope ss: 285 North Pet ity: Magazine ty: Logan on: SW 1/4, SW	1/4, 30-6-26,Logan		Zip: 7	2943	400.0
Lenc Purchaser/Borrow Property Addre C Cour Legal Descripti FEES /A Appraisal Fo PAYMENTS Check #:	er: Bob Hope ss: 285 North Pet ity: Magazine ty: Logan on: SW 1/4, SW	1/4, 30-6-26,Logan		Zip: 7	2943 \L	400.0
Lenc Purchaser/Borrow Property Addre C Cour Legal Descripti FEES /A Appraisal Fo PAYMENTS Check #: Check #:	er: Bob Hope ss: 285 North Pet ity: Magazine ty: Logan on: SW 1/4, SW	1/4, 30-6-26,Logan		Zip: 7	2943 \L	400.0